Use of this content is subject to the Terms and Conditions of the MD Consult web site.

Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine Volume 43 • Number 10 • October 2001 Copyright © 2001 American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine

# **ORIGINAL ARTICLES**

## **Respiratory Findings in Farmworkers**

Josipa Kern, PhD Jadranka Mustajbegovic, MD, PhD E. Neil Schachter, MD Eugenija Zuskin, MD, PhD Mladenka Vrcic-Keglevic, MD, PhD Zdravko Ebling, MD, PhD Ankica Senta, PhD

From the Andrija Stampar School of Public Health, Zagreb, Croatia (Dr Kern, Dr Mustajbegovic, Dr Zuskin, Dr Vrcic-Keglevic); The Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York (Dr Schachter); and Health Center Osijek, Osijek, Croatia (Dr Ebling).

This study was supported in part by Grant JF733 from the National Institutes of Health and by the Henry and Catherine Gaissman Foundation.

Address correspondence to: E. Neil Schachter, MD, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, One Gustave L. Levy Place, New York, NY 10029-6574; NSCHACHTER@SMTPLINK.MSSM.EDU.

Copyright © by American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine

This investigation was designed to study respiratory problems in farmworkers. To better define the consequences of this work environment on respiratory health, 814 farmworkers (738 male and 76 female) were studied. The mean age of the men in this study was 38 years, and the mean duration of their employment was 15 years. For women, the mean age was 44 years and the mean duration of their employment was 10 years. Of the men, 56.5% were regular smokers, and 23.7% of the women were regular smokers. A group of 635 control workers without significant exposure to air pollutants were matched by sex, age, employment, and smoking habit. The prevalence of chronic symptoms among male farmworkers was greater (P < 0.01) than among male control subjects for chronic cough (24.8% vs 11.4%), chronic phlegm (22.8% vs 9.1%), and chronic bronchitis (20.1% vs 7.4%). Among women farmworkers, a significant difference was noted with controls for chest tightness (farmworkers, 21.1%; control subjects, 0%; P < 0.001). There was a high prevalence of acute symptoms among male and female farmworkers. In particular, farmworkers complained of more frequent cough, eye irritation, dyspnea, and throat irritation than did control subjects. For respiratory symptoms in male and female farmworkers, odds ratios were generally significant for smoking, age, and duration of employment. Ventilatory capacity tests were significantly reduced for farmworkers compared with predicted values. Regression analysis of ventilatory capacity tests in male farmworkers as a percentage of predicted values demonstrated significant coefficients for employment and smoking. Our data suggest that farmworkers are exposed to noxious agents that cause respiratory symptoms and ventilatory capacity impairment.

### Introduction

Historically, the health effects of exposure to agricultural dusts and their by-products represent one of the earliest recognized forms of occupational respiratory disease. <sup>[1]</sup><sup>[2]</sup> As early as 1713, Ramazzini <sup>[3]</sup> reported that farmworkers suffer from diseases of the chest. Currently, farming has been ranked as one of the top three most hazardous occupations, along with construction and mining. <sup>[4]</sup> Agricultural exposures vary in nature, concentrations, and relative risk. <sup>[5]</sup> Organic dusts, allergens, chemicals, toxic gases, and infectious agents are all present in this environment. <sup>[6]</sup>

Health effects that result from occupational exposure in farmworkers include grain fever, chronic bronchitis, farmer's lung, silo fillers syndrome, irritant and allergic asthma, irritant and allergic rhinitis, organic dust toxic syndrome, and acute and chronic changes in airway reactivity. <sup>[2]</sup> <sup>[3]</sup> <sup>[3]</sup> <sup>[1]</sup> <sup>[1]</sup> Terho <sup>[12]</sup> reported that 10% of farmers suffer from one of these respiratory diseases. The number of farmworkers at

risk is large. In Croatia, more than 000 persons are employed in agriculture, hunting and forestry (Croatian Bureau of Statistics; http://www.dzs.hr).

The farm environment is complex, and many interacting agents contribute to respiratory disease in farmworkers. Wilkins et al <sup>[13]</sup> found that among farmworkers dealing with grain, smoking status was the strongest predictor of increased respiratory symptom prevalence compared with all other factors. James et al <sup>[14]</sup> and Iversen et al <sup>[15]</sup> indicated that occupational exposure to grain dust results in respiratory symptoms and changes in lung function, including increased airway responsiveness. Enarson et al <sup>[16]</sup> observed that the presence of bronchial hyperreactivity to methacholine, and the change in forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV<sub>1</sub>) over a single work shift, best predict a pathologic trend in FEV<sub>1</sub> over time in grain handlers.

Respiratory diseases and distal airway obstruction in farmworkers were described by Vergnenegre et al (12) and by Manfreda et al, (18) who suggested that current lung function impairment in agricultural workers may reflect the degree of involvement with livestock in the past. Similarly, Terho (12) reported that chronic bronchitis was most prevalent among farmworkers who worked in piggeries, implying a combined effect of grain dust and animal dust on the development of respiratory disease. Heller et al (19) reported that farmworkers were found to have significantly lower FEV<sub>1</sub> and forced expiratory flow, midexpiratory phase, than control subjects. In their study of farmworkers, those workers performing dairy farming and silage work were the only groups to have significantly reduced lung function. Thus, a number of studies imply that respiratory disease in farmworkers may result from combined exposure to grain and animal dust.

Respiratory responses to inhaled organic dusts among farmworkers may result from both immunologic and nonimmunologic mechanisms. <sup>[5]</sup> Dalphin <sup>[20]</sup> suggested that immuno-allergic mechanisms play a role in the pathogenesis of chronic bronchitis in farmworkers, which distinguishes their disease from the chronic bronchitis caused by smoking. By contrast, Blaski et al <sup>[21]</sup> suggested that atopy may play, at most, a minor role in the development of grain dust-induced airway disease. Clapp et al <sup>[22]</sup> proposed that the airway response to grain dust represents an acute inflammatory response to an inhaled bacterial agent associated with grain dust, such as endotoxin, rather than a response to the dust itself. Schwartz et al <sup>[11]</sup> and Schwartz <sup>[23]</sup> performed studies indicating that endotoxin in the grain dust bioaerosol may be a major cause of the development of grain dust-induced lung disease. Although endotoxin undoubtedly contributes to changes seen after grain dust exposure, it is clear that other substances must play a role as well. <sup>[24]</sup>

The present study began in 1991 and was designed to investigate the prevalence of acute and chronic respiratory symptoms and lung function in farmworkers employed in five large farms in the north of Croatia.

## **Subjects and Methods**

### Work Conditions and Environment

This study included workers employed at five large farms operated by the Croatian government. These were cooperative farms on which workers were recruited for long-term employment under the direction of government agencies. Small privately owned farms were not included. At the time of this study, on average, more than 100 workers were employed at each of the five facilities. The participating farmworkers performed all types of agricultural work, including harvesting, threshing, loading and unloading grain trucks, stocking, transporting, handling, inspecting of unprocessed agricultural products, operating tractors, storing grain in barns, and working in silos. Crops included wheat, barley, corn, rye, and oats. Because workers performed multiple tasks on the same farm, it was not possible to study the effects of individual tasks or exposures; hence, these workers were all studied as one group. For farming, they used modern equipment purchased within the previous 10 years, including equipment for planting the seeds, harvesting, threshing, and baling and storing the harvested crops in barns and silos. The farmworkers we studied were not engaged in breeding or managing livestock.

For these workers, the greatest exposure to dust typically begins with the harvesting and transporting of agricultural products. Storage of plant products on the farms (barns or silos) results in further exposure. In particular, storage may result in exposures to "spoiled" grain when grains are cleaned or to "moldy" silage when a silo is uncapped. Another significant silo exposure to which these workers are at risk arose from the fermentation of silage with subsequent exposure to oxides of nitrogen. Vegetable dust exposure also occurs with grinding of grain for the preparation of feed for livestock and the handling of processed grain in the form of animal feed. Farmworkers who seasonally worked in grain elevators, in particular, faced substantial exposure to grain dust and associated hazards. Throughout the year, farmworkers were also involved in plowing the soil, sowing the seeds for different crops, grinding and sifting the grain for animal feed, and preparing compost and pesticides for fertilizing the soil. They also regularly maintained the machines used in this agricultural work.

With the harvesting of plant products, some inorganic soil particles were retained by the final product (accounting for 25% to 45% of the dry weight of material handled). These became a part of the occupational and environmental exposure. Although the occupational aerosol was predominantly from the plant matter itself (estimated to be 60% to 75% of the dust), these products were commonly contaminated with microorganisms, in particular Gram-negative bacteria and their endotoxins and fungi and their glucans and mycotoxins. Other contaminants included insects, such as grain weevils and storage mites; hairs, feathers, and excreta of rodents and birds; and residual chemicals, including fertilizers, pesticides, fungicides, and fumigants. Vegetable dusts also contained constituents of weeds that contaminated the plant crop and were themselves potential irritants or sensitizers.

#### Subjects

This study included a group of 814 farmworkers (738 male and 76 female). They represented 92% of all the workers employed on the five

farms. The mean age of the male workers was 38 years (range, 21 to 61 years), their mean height was 174 cm (range, 155 to 188 cm), and their mean duration of employment was 15 years (range, 2 to 40 years). More than 50% of the male farmworkers were smokers (417 of 738, or 56.5%) smoking an average of one pack of cigarettes daily. The mean age of the female farmworkers was 44 years (range, 21 to 61 years), their mean height was 162 cm (range, 155 to 175 cm), and their mean duration of employment was 10 years (range, 2 to 31 years). Only 18 of 76 (23.7%) of the female farmworkers were smokers, smoking an average of one-half pack of cigarettes daily.

In addition, a group of 570 male and 65 female workers without significant environmental exposure, employed in packing food products in the food industry, were studied as a control group for the prevalence of acute and chronic respiratory symptoms. Lung function measurements were not available for the control group. The age, duration of employment, and smoking habits were matched in exposed and control workers.

In addition, a group of 27 male seasonal farmworkers were studied for respiratory symptoms and lung function changes over a 4-hour working cycle during which they loaded and unloaded trucks with grain. This work was very dusty, and these workers were exposed to high concentrations of grain dust. The mean age in this subgroup was 23 years (range, 19 to 26 years). They were predominantly smokers (64%), smoking an average of one pack of cigarettes daily.

### **Respiratory Symptoms**

Chronic respiratory symptoms were recorded using the British Medical Research Council questionnaire on respiratory symptoms <sup>[25]</sup> with additional questions on occupational asthma. <sup>[26]</sup> <sup>[27]</sup> <sup>[28]</sup> For all workers, a detailed occupational history and answers to questions about their smoking habits were recorded. Both farmworkers and controls were interviewed by a physician administering the symptom questionnaire. The following definitions were used:

- chronic cough or phlegm: cough and/or phlegm for a minimum of 3 months a year;
- chronic bronchitis: cough and phlegm for a minimum of 3 months a year and for not less than 2 successive years;

• dyspnea: grade 3, shortness of breath when walking with other people at an ordinary pace on level ground; grade 4, shortness of breath when walking at one's own pace on level ground;

• occupational asthma: recurring attacks of dyspnea, chest tightness, and pulmonary function impairment of the obstructive type diagnosed by physical examination and spirometric measurements during exposure to dust at or following work (decrease of FEV<sub>1</sub> >15%) and confirmed by the medical records.

Acute symptoms that developed during the work shift were also recorded in all farmers and control workers. Symptoms included dry cough, dyspnea, irritation or dryness of the throat; irritation, secretion, dryness, or bleeding of the nose; irritation of eyes; and headache.

#### Ventilatory Capacity

Ventilatory capacity measurements were performed in farmers by recording the maximum expiratory flow-volume (MEFV) curves on a spirometer Pneumoscreen (Jaeger, Wurzburg, Germany). The same instrument and technicians were used throughout the study. The forced vital capacity (FVC), FEV<sub>1</sub>, and the forced expiratory flow rates at 50% and the last 25% of the vital capacity (FEF<sub>50%</sub>, FEF<sub>75%</sub>) were measured on the MEFV curves. Measurements were performed during the morning work shift. The spirometer was calibrated on a daily basis. Lung function testing was performed according to the recommendations of Quanjer et al. <sup>[29]</sup> At least three MEFV curves were recorded for each subject, and the best value of the three technically satisfactory MEFV curves was used as the result of the test (the curve with the greatest FVC and FEV<sub>1</sub>). The measured values for ventilatory capacity were compared with the predicted normal values of Quanjer, <sup>[30]</sup> which are based on sex, age, and height.

#### Roentgenographic Examinations

Chest roentgenograms of the farmworkers were performed before employment and occasionally during the course of their employment as part of a regular preventive medical examination sponsored by the government. Each worker in the course of this examination had a full-size posteroanterior chest radiograph. The results of all the roentgenograms were read by two radiology specialists using the International Labor Office classification. <sup>[31]</sup>

#### Statistical Analysis

The chi-squared test (or, when appropriate, the Fisher exact test) was used for testing differences in the prevalence of respiratory symptoms between groups. Odds ratios were calculated using logistic regression analysis for each respiratory symptom (variables) and age, employment, and smoking as predictors (SAS). <sup>[12]</sup> The results of ventilatory capacity measurements were analyzed by the paired t test comparing baseline with predicted values for the farmworkers and among the 27 seasonal workers. In addition, for the seasonal workers, the data before and after a 4-hour grain dust exposure were compared using the paired t test. Ventilatory capacity data was also analyzed by applying multiple regression

analysis with age, employment, and smoking as predictors and percentage of predicted for FVC, FEV<sub>1</sub>, FEF<sub>50%</sub> and FEF<sub>25%</sub> as criterion variables (SAS). <sup>[33]</sup> A level of P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## Results

## **Respiratory Symptoms**

<u>Table 1</u> shows the prevalence of chronic respiratory symptoms in farmworkers and in control workers. Prevalences of chronic cough, chronic phlegm, and chronic bronchitis in male farmworkers and chest tightness in female farmworkers were significantly greater than in control workers (P < 0.001). Occupational asthma was diagnosed only in farmworkers (male, 11, 1.5%; female: 5, 6.6%)) and not among controls (male, P < 0.01; female, P < 0.05).

	Mean Age	Mean Employment	CH	ironic ough	CI Pl	hronic hlegm	Cł Bro	nronic onchitis	Dy Grad	spnea es 3 and 4	Occu As	pational thma	C Tig	hest htness
Group	(yrs)	(yrs)	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Male														78
Farmworkers (n = 738)	38 ± 11	15 ± 10	183	24.8	168	22.8	148	20.1	67	9.1	11	1.5	153	20.7 ·
Controls (n = 570)	39 ± 10	16 ± 10	65	11.4	52	9.1	42	7.4	12	2.1	0	0	0	0
P value			<0.001	E.	<0.00	1	<0.00	1	NS <sup>±</sup>	I	NS	1	NS	
Female		10.00	1.000	1										1
Farmworkers $(n = 76)$	44 ± 11	$10 \pm 7$	8	10.5	7	9.2	5	6.6	7	9.2	5	6.6	16	21.1
Controls (n = 65)	44 ± 9	10 ± 8	5	7.7	4	6.2	3	4.6	0	0	0	0	0	0
P value			NS		NS	L	NS	1	NS	1	NS	1	< 0.001	8

Table 1. Prevalence of Chronic Respiratory	y Symptoms in Male and Female Farmworkers and Control Worker
--------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

\* NS, difference not statistically significant (P > 0.05).

<u>Table 2</u> presents the prevalences of chronic respiratory symptoms in farmworkers by smoking habit. Male smokers had significantly higher prevalences of chronic cough, chronic phlegm, chronic bronchitis, and chest tightness than did nonsmokers (P < 0.001). Among the female farmworkers, there were no significant differences between smokers and nonsmokers. Comparisons between farmworkers who were nonsmokers and control nonsmokers showed significantly increased prevalences for all recorded chronic symptoms in both men and women.

Table 2. Prevalence of Chronic Respiratory	Symptoms in	<b>Male and Female</b>	Farmworkers by	y Smoking	g Habit
--------------------------------------------	-------------	------------------------	----------------	-----------	---------

	Mean Age	Mean Employment	Chi Co	ronic ugh	Ch Ph	ronic legm	Ch Bro	ironic nchitis	Dy Grad	spnea les 3 and 4	Occu As	pational thma	C	hest htness
Group	(yrs)	(yrs)	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Male							684						1000	
Smokers ( $n = 417$ )	37 ± 10	14 ± 9	159	38.1	140	33.6	126	30.2	39	9.4	7	1.7	106	25.4
Nonsmokers $(n = 321)$	40 ± 11	16 ± 10	24	7.4	28	8.7	22	6.9	28	8.7	4	1.2	47	14.6
P value Female			<0.001		<0.001		<0.001		NS -		NS		<0.001	

	Mean Age	Mean Employment	Chron	ic Cough	Ch Ph	ironic ilegm	Ch Bro	ironic nchitis	Dy Grade	spnea is 3 and 4	Occu As	pational thma	C Tig	hest htness
Group	(yrs)	(yrs)	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	п	%
Smokers ( <i>n</i> = 18)	36 ± 12	6 ± 6	2	11.1	1	5.6	1	5.6	1	5.6	1	5.6	2	11.1
Nonsmokers $(n = 58)$	46 ± 10	11 ± 7	6	10.3	6	10.3	4	6.9	6	10.3	4	6.9	14	24.1
P value	1		NS	4	NS	1	NS	4	NS	4	NS	d	NIC	h

NS, difference not statistically significant (P > 0.05).

Prevalences of acute symptoms (which occurred during the work shift) in farmworkers are presented by smoking habit in <u>Table 3</u>. There were similar prevalences among smokers and nonsmokers that were particularly high for cough, eye irritation, dyspnea, dry throat, and irritation of the throat. Among control workers, only men complained of cough (15 of 570, or 2.6% vs 234 of 738, or 31.7% in farmworkers) and throat irritation (10 of 570, or 1.8% vs 179 of 738, or 24.3% in farmworkers) (P < 0.01). For all other acute symptoms, control workers did not offer any complaints.

Table 3. Prevalence of Acute Symptoms During Work Shift in Farmworkers by Smoking Habit

	C	ough	Dys	pnea	Wh	eezing		Th	roat		l Irri	Eye tation			N	ose		-	Hea	dache
		1	1				Irri	tation	E	)ry	1000		1	Dry	Sec	retion	Ble	eding	P. B. B. B. B.	Turne
Group	n	%	n	%	п	%	п	%	n	%	n	%	n	1%	n	1%	n	1%	n	%
Male																				
Smokers ( <i>n</i> = 417)	150	35.9	118	28.3	54	12.9	101	24.2	113	27.1	154	36.9	88	21.1	37	8.9	36	8.6	80	19.2
Nonsmokers $(n = 321)$	84	26.2	78	24.3	27	8.4	78	24.3	92	28.7	107	33.3	75	23,4	30	9.3	32	9.9	47	14.6
P value	< 0.0	01	NS:		NS	1	NS		NS	1	NS	4	NS	· ·	NS	1	NS	1	NS	1
Female	1	1	Pavese.			1	- ANAL	1		1	10000	1		F.		1		1		1
Smokers ( $n = 18$ )	9	50.0	6	33.3	2	11.1	6	33.3	6	33.3	7	38.9	6	33.3	3	16.7	1	5.6	4	22,2
Nonsmokers $(n = 58)$	27	46.6	21	36.2	8	13.8	22	37,9	21	36.2	23	39.7	15	25.9	7	12.1	6	10.3	23	39.7
P value	NS		NS		NS		NS		NS	•	NS	1	NS	45	NS	1	NS	'	NS	1

\* NS, difference not statistically significant (p > 0.05).

Logistic regression analysis for individual symptoms in farmworkers are shown in <u>Table 4</u> for men and in <u>Table 5</u> for women. The data are arranged by smoking, duration of employment, and age. Regression coefficients for almost all symptoms were statistically significant with regard to smoking, employment, and age.

Table 4. Respiratory Symptoms in Relation to Age, Employment, and Smoking in 738 Male Farmworkers

		Odds Ratio							
<b>Respiratory Symptoms</b>	Logistic Regressions	Smoking	Employment	Age					
Chronic cough	-1.9656 -0.0142 × age +0.0203 × employment +0.0904 × smoking	1.095-	1.020-	0.986					
Chronic phlegm	-2.2912 -0.00159 × age +0.0196 × employment +0.0682 × smoking	1.071 -	1.020-	0.998					

		Odds Ratio							
Respiratory Symptoms	Logistic Regressions	Smoking	Employment	Age					
Chronic bronchitis	-2.9586 +0.00996 × age +0.0128 × employment +0.0766 × smoking	1.080*	1,013*	1.0101					
Occupational asthma	-6.1994 +0.0452 × age -0.0193 × employment +0.0349 × smoking	1.036=	0.981	1.046 -					
Dyspnea	-6.7414 +0.1091 × age -0.0253 × employment +0.0158 × smoking	1.016-	1.023-	1.115*					
Throat irritation	-1.9417 +0.0166 × age +0.00299 × employment +0.0101 × smoking	1.010-	1.003	1.017-					
Dry throat	-1.8989 +0.0204 × age +0.00412 × employment +0.00744 × smoking	1.007	1.004	1.021*					
Eye irritation	-1.7487 +0.0179 × age +0.0144 × employment +0.0211 × smoking	1.021=	1.015-	1.018					
Nasal secretion	-3.5722 +0.0480 × age -0.0429 × employment +0.00350 × smoking	1.004	0.958	1.049-					
Dry nose	-1.9447 +0.00712 × age +0.0207 × employment +0.00657 × smoking	1.007	1.021-	1.007					
Nasal bleeding	-3.1427 +0.0201 × age +0.00653 × employment -0.00549 × smoking	0.995	1.007	1.020*					
Headache	-3.6202 +0.0585 × age -0.0298 × employment -0.0165 × smoking	1.017=	0.971	1.060*					
Chest tightness	-3.7930 +0.0276 × age +0.0169 × employment +0.0238 × smoking	1.024-	1.017*	1.028 *					
Acute work-related cough	-1.1430 -0.0173 × age +0.0471 × employment +0.0287 × smoking	1.029=	1.048-	0.983					
Acute work-related dyspnea	-2.1863 +0.0149 × age +0.0229 × employment +0.0191 × smoking	1.0192	1.023-	1.015=					

Statistically significant (P < 0.05)</li>

## Table 5. Respiratory Symptoms in Relation to Age, Employment, and Smoking in 76 Female Farmworkers

			Odds Ratio	
<b>Respiratory Symptoms</b>	Logistic Regressions	Smoking	Employment	Age
Chronic cough	-4.5787 +0.0386 × age +0.0451 × employment +0.0329 × smoking	1.033-	1.046*	1.039*
Chronic phlegm	-7.0091 +0.0886 × age +0.0399 × employment -0.0479 × smoking	0.953	1.041-	1.093 *

Remarka and the second			Odds Ratio	
Respiratory Symptoms	Logistic Regressions	Smoking	Employment	Age
Chronic bronchitis	-6.5209 +0.0797 × age +0.00748 employment -0.0243 × smoking	0.976	1.008	1.083 *
Occupational asthma	-9.4048 +0.1423 × age -0.0259 × employment -0.0153 × smoking	0.985	0.974	1.1532
Dyspnea	-5.7945 +0.0702 × age +0.0201 × employment -0.0486 × smoking	0.953	1.020-	1.073-
Throat irritation	-2.4884 +0.0525 × age -0.0402 × employment +0.0113 × smoking	1.011-	0.961	1.054*
Dry throat	-2.0432 +0.0373 × age -0.0247 × employment +0.0174 × smoking	1.018-	0.976	1.038-
Eye irritation	-2.1585 +0.0360 × age +0.00202 × employment +0.0412 × smoking	1.042-	1.002	1.037:
Nasal secretion	-1.4500 +0.000572 × age -0.0549 × employment +0.0195 × smoking	1.020-	0.947	1.001
Dry nose	-3.1868 +0.0398 × age +0.0192 × employment +0.0750 × smoking	1.078-	1.019:	1.041 ±
Nasal bleeding	-2.4622 -0.0177 × age +0.0898 × employment -0.1743 × smoking	0.840	1.094-	0.982
Headache	-0.6730 +0.00718 × age -0.0130 × employment -0.0457 × smoking	0.955	0.987	1.007
Chest tightness	-5.2716 +0.0734 × age -0.00296 × employment -0.0194 × smoking	0.981	0.997	1.076-2
Acute work-related cough	-0.3368 +0.00245 × age +0.0109 × employment +0.00300 × smoking	1.003	1.011 =	1.002
Acute work-related dyspnea	-3.0625 +0.0637 × age -0.0401 × employment +0.0130 × smoking	1.013-	0.961	1.066-

Statistically significant (P < 0.05).</li>

Among the 27 seasonal workers studied during a 4-hour shift, 17 (62.9%) complained of respiratory symptoms, such as dry cough, breathlessness, chest tightness, irritation of the throat, irritation and dryness of the nose, and eye irritation. In addition, 6 of these 27 seasonal workers (35.3%) described an episode of headache and nausea, accompanied by fever, chills, malaise, and myalgia, suggesting that these workers may have developed acute organic dust toxic syndrome as described by Von Essen et al, <sup>[34]</sup> Rylander et al, <sup>[35]</sup> and Rylander. <sup>[36]</sup> These workers recovered from their symptoms within 24 hours.

## Ventilatory Capacity

<u>Table 6</u> demonstrates measured and predicted lung function in male and female farmworkers by smoking habit. In both male smokers and nonsmokers, all ventilatory capacity tests were significantly decreased compared with predicted values (P < 0.01). Among women farmworkers, only the FVC in smokers and in nonsmokers and the FEF<sub>50%</sub> and FEF<sub>25%</sub> in nonsmokers were decreased significantly compared with predicted values (P < 0.01).

Table 6. Ventilatory Capacity in Farmworkers by Smoking Habit-

				FVC			FEV <sub>1</sub>			FEF50%		
Group	Mean Age (yrs)	Mean Employment (yrs)	Mean Height (cm)	Measured (L)	Predicted (L)	Difference Measured Predicted (P)	Measured (L)	Predicted (L)	Difference Measured Predicted (P)	Measured (L/s)	Predicted (L/s)	Dif Ma Pr
Smokers ( <i>n</i> = 417)	38 ± 10	14 ± 9	174 ±	4.43 ± 0.95	4.87 ± 0.65	<0.01	3.62 ± 0.85	3.89± 0.57	<0.01	4.69 ± 1.66	5.34 ±	<0,
Nonsmokers $(n = 321)$	40 ± 11	16 ± 10	174 ± 7	4.38 ± 0.91	4.79 ± 0.64	<0.01	3.65 ± 0.86	3.80 ± 0.57	<0.01	5.06 ±	5.26 ± 0.59	<0.
Female												
Smokers ( <i>n</i> = 18)	36± 12	7 ± 6	164 ± 5	$\begin{array}{c} 3.48 \pm \\ 0.50 \end{array}$	3.75 ± 0.44	<0.05	2.96 ± 0.57	3.06 ± 0.45	NS:	3.96 ± 1.02	4.34 ± 0.25	NS
Nonsmokers $(n = 58)$	46 ± 10	11 ± 7	160 ± 6	3.16 ± 0.56	3.38 ± 0.42	<0.01	2.56 ± 0.57	2.67 ± 0.41	NS	3.61 ± 1.23	4.13 ± 0.23	<0.

\* FVC, forced vital capacity; FEV<sub>1</sub>, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FEF<sub>50%</sub>, forced expiratory flow rate at 50%; FEF<sub>25%</sub>, forced expiratory flow rate at 25%; NS, difference not statistically significant (P > 0.05).

table 7, table 8 describe the findings of our multiple regression analysis using age, employment, and smoking as predictors and percentage of lung function parameters as outcome variables for male and female farmworkers. Employment and smoking seem to be highly significant predictors of lung function abnormalities in male farmworkers but not in female farmworkers; however, only 10% of the farmworkers were women.

Table 7. Regression Analysis of Ventilatory Capacity T	fests in 738 Male Farmworkers as Percentage of Pr	redicted *
--------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------	------------

Test/Variable	df	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	T for H0: Parameter = 0	Proh > (T)	р	<b>P</b> <sup>2</sup>
FVC			1 March 1997				
Intercept	1	94.561718	1.04228255	90.726	0.0001		
Employment	1	-0.215736	0.05679821	-3.798	0.0002		
Smoking	1	-0.015435	0.04860795	-0.318	0.7509		
$P, R^2$						0.0003	0.0189
FEVL				Sec. 12			
Intercept	1	99.321431	1.11605628	88.993	0.0001		
Employment	1	-0.234785	0.06081844	-3.860	0.0001		
Smoking	1	-0.169364	0.05204846	-3.254	0.0012		1 Sec. 1 - 5
$P, R^2$						0.0001	0.0417
FEF50%							
Intercept	1	100.624993	1.98348787	50.731	0.0001		
Employment	1	-0.321945	0.10808832	-2.979	0.0030		
Smoking	1	-0.517028	0.09250205	-5.589	0.0001		
$P, R^2$						0.0001	0.0635
FEF25%							
Intercept	1	95.595010	2.40981135	39.669	0.0001		
Employment	1	-0.598228	0.13132042	-4.555	0.0001		
Smoking	1	-0.475423	0.11238410	-4.230	0.0001		
$P, R^2$						0.0001	0.0633

df, degrees of freedom; T, t statistic for the null hypothesis (H0) that implies the parameter to be 0. For definition of other abbreviations, see Table 6.

Test/Variable	df	Parameter Estimate		T for H0: Parameter = 0	Prob > (T)	Р	R <sup>2</sup>
FVC Internet							
Intercept	1	93.311387	2.46165645	37.906	0.0001		
Employment	1	-0.018348	0.17756411	-0.103	0.9180		
Smoking	1	0.131573	0.23447542	0.561	0.5764		
$P, R^2$						0.8424	-0.0226
FEV <sub>1</sub>							
Intercept	1	95.513573	3.40549259	28.047	0.0001		
Employment	1	0.050205	0.24564486	0.204	0.08386		
Smoking	1	0.170455	0.32437683	0.525	0.6008		
$\mathcal{P}, R^2$						0.8623	-0.0232
FEF50%							
Intercept	1	92.631722	5.61265329	16.504	0.0001		
Employment	1	-0.417436	0.40485168	-1.031	0.3059		
Smoking	1	-0.077344	0.53461126	-0.145	0.8854		
$P, R^2$						0.5898	-0.01265
FEF25%							
Intercept	1	70.990387	9.06351106	7.833	0.0001		
Employment	1	0.9185522	0.65376882	1.405	0.1643		
Smoking	1	0.131686	0.86330918	0.153	0.8792		
$P, R^2$						0.3776	-0.0003

## Table 8. Regression Analysis of Ventilatory Capacity Tests in 76 Female Farmworkers as Percentage of Predicted -

\* df, degrees of freedom (1, number of variables; 2, number of subjects); T, t statistic for the null hypothesis (H0) that implies the parameter to be 0. For definition of other abbreviations, see <u>Table 6</u>.

Analysis of the mean measured lung function values for 794 farmworkers as a percentage of predicted demonstrated that the lowest mean values were obtained for FEF<sub>25%</sub> (varying from 80% to 87% of predicted) in men and women, respectively. Analysis of the individual lung function measurements showed that for male farmworkers, values were less than 70% of predicted in 30 (5.3%) for FVC, in 49 (6.6%) for FEV<sub>1</sub>, in 184 (24.9%) for FEF<sub>50%</sub>, and in 319 (43.2%) for FEF<sub>25%</sub>. For female farmworkers these numbers were 3 (3.9%) for FVC, 5 (6.6%) for FEV<sub>1</sub>, 19 (25.0%) for FEF<sub>50%</sub>, and 35 (46.1%) for FEF<sub>25%</sub>.

For the 27 seasonal workers, there was a significant (P < 0.01) acute mean decrease in lung function measurements over the 4-hour work exposure: for FVC (-3.5%), for FEV<sub>1</sub> (-8.0%), for FEF<sub>50%</sub> (-13.7%), and for FEF<sub>25%</sub> (-21.4%). The changes for FEF<sub>50%</sub> and FEF<sub>25%</sub> suggest obstruction primarily in the smaller airways. All lung function tests in the 27 seasonal workers were above 85% of predicted before exposure.

## Roentgenographic Examinations

No case of pneumoconiosis or any other occupationally caused radiographic abnormality (including allergic alveolitis) was diagnosed in any of the studied farmworkers at the time of their roentgenographic examinations.

## Discussion

Our study is the first report of respiratory findings in Croatian agricultural workers and suggests that these workers, employed exclusively in

the farming of commercial grain products and not involved with livestock, are at risk of developing acute and chronic respiratory symptoms and lung function changes as a result of their occupational exposures. The prevalences of most of the chronic respiratory symptoms were significantly higher in male farmworkers than in the control group of workers. Smoking had pronounced deleterious effects, particularly among male farmworkers. Typical symptoms of occupational asthma among our farmworkers were recorded in 1.5% of male and in 6.6% of female farmers.

Several authors report that the prevalence of occupational asthma in agricultural farmworkers varies from 3% to 7.7%. <sup>[37]</sup> [38] Kimbel-Dunn et al <sup>[39]</sup> reported a very high prevalence of asthma among New Zealand farmworkers, reaching 18.2%. Pahwa et al <sup>[40]</sup> reported that significant predictors for the first episode of wheezing were current smoking and baseline FEV<sub>1</sub> /FVC ratio. The same authors stress that baseline pulmonary function measurements and smoking habit seem to be important predictors for the future development of asthma-like symptoms in grain elevator workers.

Among our farmworkers, a large number of workers complained of acute work-related symptoms that developed during the work shift, particularly cough, dyspnea, and eye and throat irritation. Several authors have reported previously that exposure to grain dust may induce acute and chronic respiratory, nasal, and ocular symptoms in farmworkers, which are associated with an obstructive pattern in pulmonary function. [24] [41] [42] [43]

James et al  $^{1441}$  recorded that in 18% of young seasonal grain handlers, breathlessness, chest tightness, cough, or sputum production were accompanied by a significant decline in FEV<sub>1</sub>. In the current study, 17 of 27 of the seasonal workers (62.9%) experienced acute symptoms over a work shift. Organic dust toxic syndrome was suspected in 6 of the 17 (35.3%) seasonal workers. Such symptoms became apparent within several hours of the exposure to dust. Despite the workers' relatively toxic findings, auscultation of their chests failed to show signs of disease. The workers were able to return to work within 24 to 48 hours.

Significant postshift decreases of ventilatory capacity were observed by Skorska et al <sup>[45]</sup> in farmworkers after working with grain. Their results were interpreted as a response of grain farmworkers to inhalant microbial allergens. Other data suggest that respiratory disorders in farmworkers are associated with levels of ambient endotoxin or more generally with bacterial, fungal, or other microbial components encountered in these workplaces. <sup>[46]</sup>

In our study of 27 seasonal farmworkers, we demonstrated that even an acute 4-hour exposure to high concentrations of grain dust is enough to cause a significant decrease in lung function. This decrease was particularly pronounced for  $FEF_{25\%}$ , suggesting obstructive changes predominantly in smaller airways. These data are similar to those of Tabona et al, <sup>[4]</sup> who found acute changes in lung function among grain elevator workers over the course of 1 workweek. These changes were positively correlated with subsequent decline in lung function among these workers.

James et al  $\frac{(42)}{2}$  also found that respiratory symptoms were more frequent among grain handlers than in nonexposed subjects. These symptoms and a decrease in FEV<sub>1</sub> were greatest among grain handlers who worked in jobs in which total exposure to dust was more than 20 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (compared with workers in jobs in which exposure was less than 10 mg/m<sup>3</sup>). A strong dose-response relationship between grain dust exposure and both respiratory symptoms and lung function has also been reported by Huy et al. [48]

## Conclusion

We showed that farmworkers not exposed to livestock are at risk of developing significant work-related respiratory problems. This is a complex environment with many hazards. An integrated medical and technical approach to these problems is required for prevention in this work setting. Moreover, prevention may require the wearing of filtration masks in very dusty work areas and the reduction of allergens, particulates, and microbial by-products by industrial hygiene techniques. Preventive measures should also include worker education and behavior modification. In particular, the role of cigarette smoking in the workplace must receive great attention, and smoking cessation measures must be implemented.

### References

1. Kline JN, Schwartz DA. Agricultural dust-induced lung disease. In: Rom WN, ed. Environmental Occupational Medicine. Philadelphia: Lippincott Raven; 1998:565-571.

2. Myers ML. Heath problems and disease patterns in agriculture. In: Encyclopedia of Occupational Health Safety. Geneva: International Labour Office; 1997:

3. Ramazzini B. Diseases of farmers. In: Wright WC, ed. De Morbis Artificium [Diseases of Workers]. New York: Hafner Publishing; 1964.

4. Gay J, Donham KJ, Leonard S. Iowa agricultural health and safety service project. Am J Ind Med. 1990; 18: 385-389. Abstract

5. Zejda JE, Dosman JA. Respiratory disorders in agriculture. Tuber Lung Dis. 1993; 74: 74-86. Abstract

6. DoPico GA. Lung (agricultural/rural). Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1996; 114: 212-216. Abstract

7. Hoglund S. Farmers' health and safety program in Sweden. Am J Ind Med. 1990; 18: 371-378. Abstract

8. Chan-Yeung M, Dimich-Ward H, Enarson DA, Kennedy SM. Five cross- sectional studies of grain elevator workers. Am J Epidemiol. 1992; 136: 1269-1279. Abstract

9. Chen P. The effects of grain dust on non-specific bronchial hyperreactivity. Chung Hua Chieh Ho Ho Hu Hsi Tsa Chih. 1992; 15: 28-30. Abstract

10. Merchant JA. Plant and vegetable exposures. In: Rosenstock L, Cullen MR, eds. Textbook of Clinical Occupational and Environmental Medicine. Philadelphia: Saunders; 1994:693-699.

11. Schwartz DA, Thorne PS, Yagla SJ, et al. The role of endotoxin in grain dust-induced lung disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1995; 152: 603-608: Abstract

12. Terho EO. Work-related respiratory disorders among Finish farmers. Am J Ind Med. 1990; 18: 269-272. Abstract

13. Wilkins JR, Engelhardt HL, Rublaitus SM, Crawford JM, Fisher JL, Bean TL. Prevalence of chronic respiratory symptoms among Ohio cash grain farmers. Am J Ind Med. 1999; 35: 150-163. <u>Abstract</u>

14. James AL, Zimmerman MJ, Ee H, Ryan G, Musk AW. Exposure to grain dust and changes in lung function. Br J Ind Med. 1990; 47: 466-472. Abstract

15. Iversen M, Dahl R, Jensen EJ, Korsgaard J. Lung function and bronchial reactivity in farmers. Thorax. 1989; : 845-849.

16. Enarson DA, Vedal S, Chan-Yeung M. Fate of grainhandlers with bronchial hyperreactivity. Clin Invest Med. 1988; 11: 193-197. Abstract

17. Vergnenegre A, D'arco X, Melloni B, et al. Work related distal airway obstruction in an agricultural population. Occup Environ Med. 1995; 52: 581-586. Abstract

18. Manfreda J, Cheang M, Warren CPW. Chronic respiratory disorders related to farming and exposure to grain dust in a rural adult community. Am J Ind Med. 1989; 15: 7-19. <u>Abstract</u>

19. Heller RF, Hayward DM, Farebrother MTB. Lung function of farmers in England and Wales. Thorax. 1986; 41: 117-121. Abstract

20. Dalphin JC. Chronic obstructive bronchitis in a fodder farming setting [in French]. Rev Mal Respir. 1996; 13: 575-581. Abstract

21. Blaski CA, Clapp WD, Thorne PS, et al. The role of atopy in grain dust-induced airway disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1996; 154: 334-340. Abstract

22. Clapp WD, Becker S, Quay J, et al. Grain dust-induced airflow obstruction and inflammation of the lower respiratory tract. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1994; 150: 611-617. Abstract

23. Schwartz DA. Grain dust, endotoxin, and airflow obstruction. Chest. 1996; 109: 57S-63S. Full Text

24. Von Essen S. The role of endotoxin in grain dust exposure and airway obstruction. Curr Opin Pulm Med. 1997; 3: 198-202. Abstract

25. British Medical Research Council on the Aetiology of Chronic Bronchitis. Standardized questionnaire on respiratory symptoms. Br Med J. 1960; 2: 165.

26. Maestrelli P, Baur X, Bessot JC, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis of occupational asthma. Clin Exp Allergy. 1992; 22: 103-108. Abstract

27. Godnic-Cvar J. How to confirm occupational asthma. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 1995; 67: 79-84. Abstract

28. World Health Organization. Early Detection of Occupational Lung Diseases. Geneva: WHO; 1996:39-41.

29. Quanjer PH, Tammeling GJ, Cotes JE, Pedersen OF, Peslin R, Yernault JC. Lung volumes and forced expiratory flows. Report of the Working Party "Standardization of Lung Function Tests," European Community for Steel and Coal. Eur Respir J. 1993; (suppl 16): 5-40.

30. Quanjer PH, ed. Standardized lung function testing. Report of the Working Party Standardization of Lung Function Tests. European Community for Coal and Steel. Bull Eur Physiopathol Respir. 1985(suppl 5):1-95.

31. International Labour Office. Guidelines for the Use of ILO International Classification of Radiographs of Pneumoconioses. Geneva: ILO; 1980:1-24. Occupational Health and Safety Series No. 22.

32. Statistical Analysis Systems Institute. SAS/STAT User's Guide. release 6.05 ed. Cary, NC: SAS; 1988:1028.

33. Statistical Analysis Systems Institute. SAS Technical Report p-200, SAS/STAT Software: Calis and Logistic Procedures. release 6.04 ed. Cary, NC: SAS; 1990:236.

34. Von Essen S, Robbins RA, Thompson AB, Rennard SI. Organic dust toxic syndrome: an acute febrile reaction to organic dust exposure distinct from hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Clin Toxicol. 1990; 28: 389-420.

35. Rylander R. Organic dusts--from knowledge to prevention. Scand J Work Environ Health. 1994; 20 (spec no):116-122. Abstract

36. Rylander R. Symptoms and mechanisms--inflammation of the lung. Am J Ind Med. 1994; 25: 19-23. Abstract

37. Bessot JC, Blaumeiser M, Kopferschmitt MC, Pauli G. Occupational asthma in an agricultural setting [in French]. Rev Mal Respir. 1996; 13: 205-215. Abstract

38. Kokkarinen JI, Tukiainen HO, Terho EO. Asthma in patients with farmer's lung during a five-year follow-up. Scand J Work Environ Health. 1997; 23: 149-151. <u>Abstract</u>

39. Kimbell-Dun M, Bradshaw L, Slater T, Erkinjumtti-Pekkanen R, Fishwick D, Pearce N. Asthma and allergy in New Zealand farmers. Am J Ind Med. 1999; 35: 51-57. Abstract

40. Pahwa P, Senthilsevan A, McDuffie HH, Dosman JA. Predictors of onset of wheezing in grain elevator workers. Can Respir J. 1998; 5: 200-205. Abstract

41. Yach D, Myers J, Bradshaw D, Benatar SR. A respiratory epidemiologic survey of grain mill workers in Cape Town, South Africa. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1985; 131: 505-510. <u>Abstract</u>

42. Manfreda J, Holford-Strevens V, Cheang M, Warren CP. Acute symptoms following exposure to grain dust in farming. Environ Health Perspect. 1986; 66: 73-80. <u>Abstract</u>

43. Borm PJ, Schins RP, Derhaag TJ, Kant I, Jorna TH. Cross-shift changes obstruction in workers exposed to grain dust. Chest. 1996; 109: 1078-1085. Full Text

44. James AL, Cookson WO, Buters G, et al. Symptoms and longitudinal changes in lung function in young seasonal grain handlers. Br J Ind Med. 1986; 43: 587-591. <u>Abstract</u>

45. Skorska C, Macklewicz B, Dutkiewicz J, et al. Effects of exposure to grain dust in Polish farmers: work-related symptoms and immunologic response to microbial antigens associated with dust. Ann Agric Environ Med. 1998; 5: 147-153. <u>Abstract</u>

46. Olenchock SA, May JJ, Pratt DS, Piacitelli LA, Parker JE. Presence of endotoxins in different agricultural environments. Am J Ind Med. 1990; 18: 279-284. Abstract

47. Tabona M, Chan-Yeung M, Enarson D, MacLean L, Dorken E, Schulzer M. Host factors affecting longitudinal decline in lung spirometry among grain elevator workers. Chest. 1984; 85: 782-786. <u>Abstract</u>

48. Huy T, DeSchipper K, Chan Yeung M, Kennedy SM. Grain dust and lung function. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1991; 144: 1314-1321. Abstract

Copyright © 2003 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

www.mdconsult.com

Bookmark URL: /das/journal/view/34245638-2/N/12214448?ja=247326&PAGE=1.html&ANCHOR=top&source=MI