



ELSEVIER

Breast and Cervical Cancer Screening Among Migrant and Seasonal Farmworkers: A Review

Cancer Detection and Prevention 27 (2002) 1–7

**Cancer
Detection
and
Prevention**

www.elsevier.com/locate/cdp

Review

Breast and cervical cancer screening among migrant and seasonal farmworkers: a review[☆]

Steven S. Coughlin, PhD^{*}, Katherine M. Wilson, MPH

Epidemiology and Health Services Research Branch, Division of Cancer Prevention and Control, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 4770 Buford Highway, NE (K 55), Atlanta, GA 30341, USA

Received 10 September 2001; received in revised form 16 April 2002; accepted 2 May 2002

Abstract

Women constitute about one in five hired farmworkers in the US. Their health may be affected by exposure to unhealthy living and working conditions, by increased exposure to health hazards, by poverty, and by poor utilization of health care and preventive services. About 69% of migrant and seasonal farmworkers were born outside the US, mostly in Mexico and central America, and many speak little English. The health concerns of women who are migrant and seasonal farmworkers include breast and cervical cancer, which can be prevented or controlled through routine screening, but cancer incidence and mortality data for migrant workers are sparse. We reviewed published studies that examined breast and cervical cancer screening in this population. These studies include cross-sectional surveys, health needs assessments, and randomized and non-randomized intervention trials. A review of published studies of cancer screening among women who are migrant and seasonal farmworkers indicates that underutilization of mammograms and Papanicolaou (Pap) tests among this population may stem from their limited awareness of the importance of cancer screening and cultural beliefs. Other barriers include cost, lack of health insurance, lack of transportation and child care difficulties. The extent to which results obtained in selected localities are generalizable to other settings is uncertain, but results to date provide important information about possible approaches for increasing cancer screening among women migrant farmworkers. © 2002 Published by Elsevier Science Ltd. on behalf of International Society for Preventive Oncology.

Keywords: Breast cancer; Cervical cancer; Farming; Hispanics; Mammography; Migrant health; Papanicolaou test; Prevention; Screening

1. Introduction

Hired farmworkers, as defined by the US Census Bureau, are people employed to perform tasks on farms for the purpose of producing an agricultural commodity for sale. Most hired farmworkers are employed on a seasonal basis; between seasons, some work in non-agricultural jobs for part of the year and others are unemployed or return to their home country [1].

Three major North–South migrant “streams” have been identified in the US to provide a common language for discussion of service delivery, data collection, and policy decisions [2,3]. Migrant farmworkers in southern California make up the stream that heads north to northern California, Oregon, and Washington. Another stream consists of workers who are based in Texas and Arizona and travel up the

Mississippi Valley to Ohio, Michigan, Indiana, Illinois, and other mid-western states. The eastern stream is made up of workers who travel from southern Florida to Georgia, South and North Carolina, Maryland, Delaware, New Jersey, New York, and New England. The eastern stream is the most ethnically heterogeneous; it includes African Americans, Mexican Americans, Mexicans, Puerto Ricans, Haitians, and Jamaicans. The other two streams are predominantly Hispanic but include American Indians and Southeast Asians.

As highlighted by the National Advisory Council on Migrant Health in its Year 2000 recommendations (Samuels et al., National Center for Farmworker Health (NCFH), 1998), the circumstances of agricultural labor include hard physical work, fatigue, poverty, and exposure to prejudice and hostility. The conditions of migrancy partly affect health through increased exposure to unhealthy living and working conditions and health hazards, through an overemphasis on acute care (rather than preventive care), and underutilization of health care [3,4]. The latter may be due to a lack of awareness of care, availability of care, or accessibility of care [3].

[☆] Presented at the Ninth Annual Meeting of the Society for Prevention Research, Washington, DC, 31 May–2 June 2001.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-770-488-4776; fax: +1-770-488-4639. E-mail address: sic9@cdc.gov (S.S. Coughlin).

64 Migrant and seasonal farmworkers are often isolated due
65 to their residence in rural agricultural communities, lack of
66 transportation, and limited English speaking ability. About
67 69% are foreign-born, mostly from Mexico and central
68 America. Indigenous peoples from southern Mexico and
69 Guatemala working in US agriculture, whose numbers have
70 increased in recent years, may speak indigenous dialects
71 as their first language and perhaps, Spanish as their second
72 [1]. Many workers speak Spanish, but others speak French,
73 Creole, Hmong, or Vietnamese [3]. Besides transportation
74 difficulties, Longo and Donahue [5] found that language
75 problems and cultural insensitivity of the clinics were two
76 common reasons for migrant farmworkers in Virginia to not
77 seek health care.

78 Roughly one-third of migrant and seasonal farmworkers
79 are undocumented or unauthorized to work in the US [1].
80 At least one of five hired farmworkers is female. Educa-
81 tional attainment is low on average and many hired farm-
82 workers live in poverty [1,6]. By the nature of their work,
83 farmworkers are geographically and socially isolated which,
84 with their low income, contributes to deficiencies in hous-
85 ing, sanitation, nutrition, and access to preventive health care
86 and other medical services [7]. The intermittency of work
87 and the low rate of payment for migrant work all lead to
88 long hours in the fields and provide disincentives for seeking
89 health care [3]. Time away from work often results in lost
90 wages.

91 Under the Migrant Health Act of 1962, the US govern-
92 ment provides support to more than 120 community-based
93 and state agencies that offer comprehensive primary care
94 services to address the needs of hired farmworkers. This
95 network of clinics, however, provides services to only about
96 15-20% of the eligible population [1].

97 In 1990, Congress established an initiative through the
98 appropriations bill for the Department of Health and Hu-
99 man Services (P.L. 101-517) to significantly improve the
100 health of rural Americans, particularly agricultural workers
101 and their families [8]. As part of that effort, the National In-
102 stitute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) of the
103 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention convened the na-
104 tional Surgeon General's Conference on Agricultural Health
105 and Safety. NIOSH has fulfilled many of the directives re-
106 sulting from this conference by collecting national data on
107 occupational injury and health status among farmworkers,
108 including cancer prevalence. The cancers of interest in the
109 NIOSH database are associated with occupation, however,
110 and do not include data on non-occupational cancers, such
111 as breast and cervical cancer.

112 In the absence, then, of national data on non-occupational
113 cancers, various researchers have undertaken efforts to col-
114 lect local data on farmworkers. In addition, efforts to iden-
115 tify ways to increase cancer screening among women who
116 are migrant and seasonal farmworkers have recently begun.

117 This paper reviews published studies of breast and cer-
118 vical cancer screening among women who are migrant and
119 seasonal farmworkers. The studies include cross-sectional

120 surveys, health needs assessments, and randomized and
121 non-randomized intervention trials. This review begins with
122 a summary of cancer-related issues among women who
123 are migrant and seasonal farmworkers. After summarizing
124 studies of breast and cervical cancer screening among these
125 women, the review discusses the studies' findings in relation
126 to the more fully developed literature on cancer screening
127 among Hispanic women, and ends with suggestions for
128 future research.

2. Cancer-related issues among women who are migrant and seasonal farmworkers

129
130
131 Farmworkers are exposed to ultraviolet radiation from
132 sunlight, which can cause skin cancer, as well as to a vari-
133 ety of potential carcinogens, including herbicides and pes-
134 ticides, solvents, oils, wood preservatives, and other chem-
135 icals used on farms. Although none of those exposures has
136 been implicated in cancer of the breast or cervix, Zahm
137 and Blair [9] reviewed studies on hired farmworkers' can-
138 cer risk and found that they, like farmers, had elevated risk
139 of several cancers, including lung, stomach, and multiple
140 myeloma (and, among men, prostate and testicular cancer).
141 Risk for skin cancer is known to be elevated among farmers
142 and, presumably, farmworkers (especially those with lighter
143 skin), since their occupational need to be outdoors is great
144 [9]. Elevated rates of brain tumors and leukemia have been
145 observed among some migrant children [3].

146 Cancer incidence and mortality data for migrant and sea-
147 sonal farmworkers are sparse, although socioeconomically
148 disadvantaged groups have often been found to have rela-
149 tively low survival rates for nearly all types of cancer [10].
150 Migrant and seasonal farmworkers are one of the poor-
151 est and most medically underserved populations in the US
152 [2,11] and warrant further study. Research has been lim-
153 ited by methodological difficulties associated with studying
154 transient populations [11].

155 Although data for women who are migrant and seasonal
156 farmworkers are lacking, research shows that Hispanic
157 women in the US as a group are at increased risk of invasive
158 cervical cancer compared with non-Hispanic women, as
159 are African American women and some Asian and Pacific
160 Islander women (for example, Vietnamese women). Most
161 cervical cancers (among both Hispanic and non-Hispanic
162 women) are diagnosed at the localized stage, but older and
163 minority women are more likely to be diagnosed with ad-
164 vanced disease [12-16]. Most deaths from cervical cancer
165 occur among women who are at least 50 years old. Hispanic
166 women are also more likely than non-Hispanic women to be
167 diagnosed with breast cancer at a later stage and may have
168 poorer survival following a breast cancer diagnosis, even
169 though breast cancer incidence and mortality rates are lower
170 in Hispanic women than non-Hispanic women [14,16]. The
171 ethnic differences in cancer stage at diagnosis may be ex-
172 plained by the fact that Hispanic women are not as likely

173 to undergo routine breast and cervical screening as non-
174 Hispanic women [17-21].

175 3. Methods

176 We identified relevant articles through a MEDLINE
177 search of literature published between January 1982 and
178 March 2002. The searches were limited to articles published
179 in English. We used the keywords "cervical neoplasms,"
180 "breast neoplasms," "mass screening," and "transients and
181 migrants" to scan titles, abstracts, and subject headings
182 in the databases. Additional studies were identified by
183 reviewing recent conference proceedings (for example, Mi-
184 grant Farmworker Stream Forums), technical reports (for
185 example, reports from the NCFH), and the references of
186 published articles. Primary studies were included if they
187 focused on breast or cervical cancer screening among adult
188 migrant or seasonal farmworking women. Both qualitative
189 and quantitative studies were considered. Studies conducted
190 outside of the US were excluded.

191 4. Studies of breast and cervical cancer screening 192 among migrant and seasonal farmworkers

193 4.1. Health needs assessments among migrant and 194 seasonal farmworkers

195 In an assessment of farmworkers in the Delmarva
196 (Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia) peninsula in Octo-
197 ber 1994, Hooks et al. [22] collected detailed information
198 through in-person interviews with labor contractors and
199 farmworkers, telephone interviews of growers, and inter-
200 views of service providers. The researchers completed two
201 case studies to learn more about the experience of low in-
202 come Hispanic women seeking Papanicolaou (Pap) tests, and
203 they surveyed the knowledge, attitudes, and practices of 475
204 Spanish-speaking farmworkers regarding skin and cervical
205 cancer and overall health information. Initial results suggest
206 that knowledge and understanding of cervical cancer and
207 Pap tests are fairly low among the farmworkers surveyed
208 [22]. For example, 44% of the women did not know what
209 a Pap test is. Detailed results from the study have not yet
210 been published.

211 4.2. Studies of correlates of breast and cervical cancer 212 screening among migrant and seasonal farmworkers

213 Lantz et al. [11] assessed the cancer-related knowledge,
214 attitudes, and practices of migrant farmworkers in Wiscon-
215 sin through both qualitative and quantitative research. In
216 1992, researchers conducted a series of focus groups with
217 55 Hispanic migrant farmworkers (22 women and 33 men)
218 in central Wisconsin [11]. The results suggested a lack of
219 knowledge and information about the causes, and early de-

220 tection and treatment of cancer as well as fatalistic attitudes
221 toward the disease. Lantz et al. identified cultural barriers
222 including attitudes of embarrassment and shame associated
223 with physical examinations and women's strong discomfort
224 with male clinicians. Structural barriers to secondary pre-
225 vention included the cost of obtaining health services, time
226 constraints associated with the need to work and long work
227 days, and a lack of transportation [11].

228 Following the focus groups, Lantz and Redding [23]
229 randomly selected a sample of Latino migrant farmwork-
230 ers from the employee rosters of 27 Wisconsin agricultural
231 businesses in a 10-county area. Bilingual interviewers
232 collected data through face-to-face interviews at migrant
233 housing camps. The respondents ($n = 162$) ranged in age
234 from 35 to 74. Myths and misconceptions about cancer
235 were common among the respondents; for example, 75%
236 believed that bruises cause cancer. Eighty percent of the
237 respondents, however, believed that a person can do much
238 to prevent cancer and 93% believed that the chances for
239 cure are good when a cancer is found early.

240 Skaer et al. [24] examined determinants of cancer screen-
241 ing through a survey of Hispanic women ($n = 512$) who
242 were patients at migrant health clinics in Washington State.
243 All foreign-born Hispanic women aged 20 or older who
244 had no history of breast or cervical cancer and who at-
245 tended any one of six migrant health clinics were eligible
246 to participate. The survey questionnaire was translated into
247 Spanish, pre-tested, and assessed for cultural appropriate-
248 ness and sensitivity by clinic directors and medical staff.
249 Bilingual, female interviewers administered the survey in
250 the spring of 1994. About 29% of the respondents (151 of
251 512) were 20-29 years of age, 28% ($n = 142$) were 30-39
252 years of age, and the remaining respondents ($n = 219$ or
253 43%) were 40 years or older. The respondents had lived
254 in the US for an average of 10 years. Their mean years
255 of education was about 6 and 11% had no formal educa-
256 tion. Eighty-five percent of the respondents had a family
257 income of US\$ 15,000 or less per year and 36% had a re-
258 ported family income of less than US\$ 5000 per year. Fif-
259 teen percent of the respondents had never heard of a Pap
260 test, and 78% had ever had a Pap test. Thirty-eight per-
261 cent of those who were at least age 40 had never heard
262 of a mammogram. A similar proportion had ever received
263 a mammogram and 30% had received one in the past 2
264 years.

265 Logistic regression was used in the analysis to identify
266 factors independently associated with receipt of Pap tests
267 and mammograms [24]. Being married, higher income, more
268 years of education, and longer US residency were associated
269 with receiving a Pap test. Women with family incomes of
270 US\$ 5000 or more were more likely (OR = 2.1, 95% confi-
271 dence interval (CI) 1.3-3.6) to have received a Pap test than
272 were women with family incomes of less than US\$ 5000.
273 Similarly, education and increasing years of US residency
274 were associated with receipt of a mammogram after adjust-
275 ment for age and other factors.

276 4.3. Evaluations of interventions to increase breast and
277 cervical cancer screening among migrant and seasonal
278 farmworkers

279 Skaer et al. [25] carried out a randomized intervention
280 trial within two migrant health clinics in Washington State.
281 The clinics were randomly selected from among the six clinics
282 that participated in the baseline study described earlier.
283 All foreign-born Hispanic women age 40 years or older who
284 had no history of breast cancer and who had not obtained
285 a mammogram within the past year were eligible to participate.
286 A total of 80 women (40 per clinic) were assigned on an
287 alternating basis to either a control group or an intervention
288 group. The intervention consisted of clinic instruction
289 plus a voucher for a free mammogram [25]. After completing
290 a survey, the women assigned to the intervention group
291 were informed of the recommended screening guidelines and
292 importance of mammography, were given instructions for
293 making an appointment, and were provided with directions
294 to the screening facility along with a mammogram voucher.
295 The women assigned to the control group were given the
296 same educational information and instructions for obtaining
297 a mammogram but were not provided a voucher. Only 18%
298 of the women in the control group received a mammogram
299 compared with 88% of those in the voucher group. Logistic
300 regression analysis confirmed that women given a voucher
301 were much more likely to obtain a mammogram than were
302 those in the control group (adjusted OR = 47.0, 95% CI
303 9.3-238.4).

304 Boucher [26] assessed the impact of trained health
305 advisors on cervical cancer screening rates among Mexican
306 farmworkers in migrant labor camps in northern California.
307 In the summer of 1997, researchers administered a Spanish
308 language survey that was based on CDC's Behavioral Risk
309 Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) questionnaire [27] to
310 614 Hispanic farmworker women who resided in six migrant
311 labor camps. In the summer of 1998, volunteer lay
312 health advisors who resided in the camps were recruited for
313 an 8-week training program on cervical cancer; they subsequently
314 presented public education sessions for other residents.
315 In the summer of 1999, the BRFSS questionnaire
316 was re-administered to a sample of 200 women. The results
317 showed that self-reported cervical cancer screening rates had
318 significantly increased, with an average increase of 28% (no
319 CI provided). Knowledge of Pap tests also increased.

320 Goldsmith and Sisneros [28] conducted a study to identify
321 barriers to cancer prevention and to evaluate the effectiveness
322 of a program to increase breast and cervical cancer screening
323 among Spanish speaking farmworkers in California's Central
324 Valley. Bilingual health educators initially met with focus
325 groups of farmworker women to determine barriers that
326 prevented women from seeking cancer screening. Most of
327 the focus group participants had a fatalistic attitude toward
328 cancer and considerable fear of the disease. They expressed
329 anxiety about the suffering and pain associated with cancer,
330 and many felt that a person could do virtually nothing to

331 prevent or survive this disease. Also common was the belief
332 that only God determines whether a person gets cancer.
333 Many participants had a limited or fragmented knowledge
334 about the causes of cancer and the possibility of effective
335 treatment and held conflicting beliefs about the importance
336 of early detection and treatment. Many of the women expressed
337 embarrassment and shame about physical examinations
338 of their breasts and cervixes, especially when examinations
339 were performed by male clinicians. Results obtained from
340 the focus groups confirmed that cost, transportation, fear of
341 the medical system, and time constraints were barriers to
342 accessing health and cancer screening services.

343 Using information gained from the focus groups and at
344 health fairs, Goldsmith and Sisneros developed a cancer education
345 and screening outreach program; their goal was to enroll
346 2500 farmworkers [28]. Participants received a presentation
347 in Spanish on breast and cervical cancer that included a
348 pre-test and post-test to assess increases in knowledge.
349 Anatomical models of organs were used for health education
350 in small group settings. They also were encouraged
351 to attend a facility to receive free breast and cervical cancer
352 screenings. Preliminary findings indicated that 1752 female
353 farmworkers had been enrolled in the outreach program by
354 1 December 1995. Nearly one-third of the women reported
355 that they had never had a Pap test, and 61% of those aged
356 50 or older had never had a mammogram. A statistically
357 significant increase in knowledge about cancer and cancer
358 prevention was observed among participants. A total of 317
359 of the women redeemed vouchers for cancer screenings; 183
360 Pap tests, 105 clinical breast examinations, and 29 mammograms
361 were completed by 1 December 1995.

362 The NCFH showed that farm working women trained as
363 lay health advisors can help to facilitate breast and cervical
364 cancer screening in this population [29]. The use of community
365 health workers around maternal and child health issues
366 had been shown to be effective with migrant farm working
367 women, [30,31] but studies of their role in increasing breast
368 and cervical cancer screening in this population were
369 lacking. Over the 3-year project, the Traveling Lay Health
370 Advisor Project (Consejeras de Salud) made 3669 referrals
371 specifically for breast cancer screening and 3282 for cervical
372 cancer screening, with 862 women followed up for abnormal
373 breast and cervical screening results [29]. A "1-800" telephone
374 number was employed to assist case managers, physicians,
375 nurses, and lay health advisors with service coordination
376 for farmworkers. The program also established the first
377 database on breast and cervical cancer screening patterns in
378 farm working women (E. Roberta Ryder, CEO, NCFH, May
379 2001, personal communication).

380 5. Discussion

381 The studies summarized in this review suggest several areas
382 for further research involving migrant and seasonal farmworkers,
383 including the need to conduct more cancer preven-

tion and control studies involving this population, and the lack of national data on cancer screening among migrant and seasonal farmworkers. Currently, no national database on migrant and seasonal farmworkers continually collects information on preventive aspects of non-occupational cancers. It is therefore difficult to identify or monitor national patterns of breast and cervical cancer screening. Because these screening tests increase in importance as women age and because screening must be ongoing and routine, developing an understanding of the screening behaviors of women who are migrant and seasonal farmworkers is important. Although local efforts at data collection contribute to that understanding, they do not provide nationally representative data useful for public policymaking.

One possible approach would be to include questions on breast and cervical cancer screening in the National Agricultural Worker Survey (NAWS), which is conducted annually by the US Department of Labor [1]. Hired farmworkers are randomly sampled using an enumeration of all places where hired farmworkers are employed. Since its inception in 1987, the NAWS has gathered considerable data on the employment conditions, job history, demographics, and selected health outcomes of hired farmworkers. Questions about breast and cervical cancer screening are not currently included in the NAWS but could be included in future questionnaires.

The desirability of ongoing data collection about cancer screening is partially driven by the changing diversity and continuity of the migrant farmworker population. Every year, workers drop out because they can no longer perform the work or because they have found a place to live permanently. They are replaced by people who are newer to the US and who are poorer and less educated than those before them. Data from the NAWS indicate that the numbers of workers from Asia and the West Indies increased in the 1990s [1].

Additional cancer prevention and control studies involving migrant workers are needed to identify correlates of screening and effective ways to provide screening. The results of studies identified in this review and of other research involving Hispanic women in the US [11,18,32] indicate that underutilization of breast and cervical cancer screening tests may be a result of limited awareness of or knowledge about the importance of cancer screening. Among Hispanic women, lack of knowledge is a frequently cited correlate to not being screened, especially among less educated groups [33,34]. In one study, even though most Hispanic women were familiar with the term Pap test, they lacked understanding of its purpose; many women did not know what a cervix is or were confused about who should have the test [34]. Many women who were no longer sexually active or who were beyond child-bearing age did not know that they should be getting Pap tests. Some research, however, suggests that an increase in Pap tests among Hispanic women can occur without knowledge about the test through social norms or cultural influences [33]. Additional studies are needed to

clarify these issues and to determine how screening behaviors can be maintained over time.

Important findings are likely to come from the California Agricultural Worker Health Survey (CAWHS), a health needs assessment conducted in 1999 by the California Institute for Rural Studies with support from the California Endowment [35]. Although the survey portion of the CAWHS has provided many important findings, results from the CBEs and Pap tests have not yet been published. The health needs assessment included a community-based, household survey of health behaviors and physical examinations. The latter included skin and CBEs, Pap tests, and a variety of other procedures.

Research is also needed to identify effective interventions to overcome barriers to breast and cervical cancer screening among migrant workers, such as the costs of screening tests, lack of transportation or child care, lack of health insurance, and poor access to affordable health care [31,36-38]. Previous studies have shown that Hispanic women who do not have health insurance, have not received routine health care or have not received a provider's recommendation to get a mammogram or Pap test are less likely to have been screened [18,19]. Moreover, even when economic barriers to care are reduced or removed, migrants use fewer medical services, [4] suggesting that economic factors may not be the only barriers to screening.

Lastly, research is needed to examine sociocultural beliefs that may affect use of screening tests by migrant and seasonal farmworkers. Studies involving Hispanic women in the US have shown that embarrassment and shame associated with having a stranger view private body parts, a past bad experience with screening, or a lack of self-efficacy in dealing with the health care system or with a provider could impede future screening efforts [34]. The belief that breast trauma may induce breast cancer or that cancer is God's punishment for improper or immoral behavior may be interpreted as fatalism or a belief in something one cannot control. In a follow-up study, [23] however, a conclusion of fatalism was contradicted by the hopefulness expressed by the majority belief that "much can be done to prevent cancer." Goldsmith and Sisneros [28] found similar results.

Fear associated with cancer has been linked to fear of the screening test, fear of the disease, fear of the cost of treatment, fear of the treatment, or fear of the financial and physical burden that cancer may place on family members [36,39]. Further research is needed to understand the meaning of each specific fear, to distinguish the conditions under which each fear occurs and to identify how to most effectively neutralize the fear and encourage routine cancer screening.

One of the challenges of providing preventive health care services to migrant and seasonal farmworkers is the difficulty of providing case management services and continuity of care to people who must travel frequently and often over great distances as a requirement of their employment (Samuels et al., National Advisory Council on Migrant

Health, Year 2000 recommendations, NCFH, 1998). The Migrant Clinicians' Network (1988, unpublished data) lists the following challenges to migrant and seasonal farmworkers obtaining health care: incomplete health histories, poor referral service resources, low priority for health care because of the pressures of work, timing of health visits, and health problems that providers may not recognize if they are uncommon in the surrounding population. Research is needed to gather evidence about how community health workers can use case management to increase screening and follow-up rates.

5.1. Conclusions and recommendations for future research

Research is warranted to determine how to most effectively use community health advisors to deliver breast and cervical cancer screening messages using standardized protocols and culturally and linguistically appropriate materials and messages. Breast and cervical cancer educational efforts and provision of services must be renewed every year to reach new women and to reinforce the learning and practice needed with those returning to the fields. Future studies should include subpopulations of migrant and seasonal farmworkers, such as West Indians and Asians because their screening behaviors are unknown.

References

- [1] Villarejo D, Baron SL. The occupational health status of hired farm workers. *Occup Med* 1999;14:613-35.
- [2] Mobed K, Gold EB, Schenker MB. Occupational health problems among migrant and seasonal farm workers. In: *Cross cultural medicine: a decade later*. West J Med 1992;157:367-73 [special edition].
- [3] Meister JS. The health of migrant farm workers. *Occup Med* 1991;6:503-18.
- [4] Bruhn JG. Health: its meaning and expression. In: Bruhn JG, Brandon JE, editors. *Border health: challenges for the United States and Mexico*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 1997. p. 13-36.
- [5] Longo PJ, Donahue V. Amplifying the health literacy of migrant farmworkers. Dayton, VA: Virginia Adult Educators' Research Network, 1997.
- [6] Bechtel GA, Shepherd MA, Rogers PW. Family, culture, and health practices among migrant farmworkers. *J Community Health Nurs* 1995;12:15-22.
- [7] Coyle MJ. The health effects of agricultural production. Part I. The health of agricultural workers. *J Public Health Policy* 1985;6:349-70.
- [8] Myers ML, Herrick RF, Olenchock SA, et al. In: *Proceedings of the Surgeon General's Conference on Agricultural Safety and Health*. DHHS publication no. 92-105. USDHHS, Public Health Service, CDC, NIOSH, 1992.
- [9] Zahm SH, Blair A. Cancer among migrant and seasonal farmworkers: an epidemiologic review and research agenda. *Am J Ind Med* 1993; 24:753-66.
- [10] Freeman H. Race, poverty, and cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1991;83:526-7.
- [11] Lantz PM, Dupuis L, Reding D, et al. Peer discussions of cancer among Hispanic farm workers. *Public Health Rep* 1994;109:512-20.
- [12] Parker SL, Johnston Davis K, Wingo PA, et al. Cancer statistics by race and ethnicity. *CA Cancer J Clin* 1998;48:31-48.
- [13] Eidson M, Becker TM, Wiggins CL, et al. Breast cancer among Hispanics, American Indians and Non-Hispanic whites in New Mexico. *Int J Epidemiol* 1994;23:231-7.
- [14] Miller BA, Kolonel LN, Bernstein L, et al., editors. *Racial/ethnic patterns of cancer in the United States 1988-1992*. NIH publication no. 96-4104. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute, 1996.
- [15] Zaloznik AJ. Breast cancer stage at diagnosis: Caucasians versus Hispanics. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 1997;42:121-4.
- [16] Frost F, Tollestrup K, Hunt WC, et al. Breast cancer survival among New Mexico Hispanic, American Indian, and non-Hispanic white women (1973-1992). *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1996; 5:861-6.
- [17] Frazier EL, Jiles RB, Mayberry R. Use of screening mammography and clinical breast examinations among Black, Hispanic, and white women. *Prev Med* 1996;25:118-25.
- [18] Tortolero-Luna G, Guber GA, Villarreal R, et al. Screening practices and knowledge attitudes, and beliefs about cancer among Hispanic and non-Hispanic white women 35 years old or older in Nueces County, Texas. *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr* 1995;18:49-56.
- [19] Breen N, Kessler L. Changes in the screening mammography: evidence from the 1987 and 1990 National Health Interview Surveys. *Am J Public Health* 1994;84:62-7.
- [20] Vernon SW, Vogel VG, Halabi S, et al. Breast cancer screening behaviors and attitudes in three racial/ethnic groups. *Cancer Suppl* 1992;69:165-74.
- [21] Blackman DK, Bennet EM, Miller DS. Trends in self-reported use of mammograms (1989-1997) and Papanicolaou tests (1991-1997)—United States. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 1999;48:1-22.
- [22] Hooks C, Ugarte C, Silsby J, et al. Obstacles and opportunities in designing cancer control communication research for farmworkers on the Delmarva Peninsula. *J Rural Health* 1996;12(4):332-42.
- [23] Lantz PM, Redding D. Cancer beliefs and attitudes of migrant Latinos. *J Am Med Assoc* 1994;272:31-2.
- [24] Skaer TL, Robison LM, Sclar DA, et al. Cancer-screening determinants among Hispanic women using migrant health clinics. *J Health Care Poor Underserved* 1996;7:338-54.
- [25] Skaer TL, Robison LM, Sclar DA, et al. Financial incentive and the use of mammography among Hispanic migrants to the US. *Health Care Women Int* 1996;17:281-91.
- [26] Boucher F. Lay health advisors increase cervical cancer screening rates among Mexican farmworkers. In: *Proceedings of the 15th National Conference on Chronic Disease Prevention and Control*. Living healthier, living longer: the will and the way. Washington, DC, 29 November, 2000 [abstract].
- [27] Frazier EL, Franks AI, Sanderson LM. Behavioral risk factor data. In: *Using chronic disease data: a handbook for public health practitioners*. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 1992. p. 4-1-17.
- [28] Goldsmith DF, Sisneros GC. Cancer prevention strategies among California farmworkers: preliminary findings. *J Rural Health* 1996;12: 343-8.
- [29] *Proceedings of the 1996 Migrant Farmworker Stream Forums*, Austin, TX: National Center for Farmworker Health, 1997 [http://www.ncfh.org].
- [30] Warrick LH, Wood AH, Meister JS, et al. Evaluation of a peer health worker prenatal outreach and education program for Hispanic farmworker families. *J Community Health* 1992;17:13-26.
- [31] Watkins EL, Larson K, Harlan C, et al. A model program for providing health services for migrant farm worker mothers and children. *Public Health Rep* 1990;105:567-75.
- [32] Perez-Stable EJ, Sabogal F, Otero-Sabogal R, et al. Misconceptions about cancer among Latinos and Anglos. *J Am Med Assoc* 1992;268:3219-23.
- [33] Suarez L, Nichols D, Brady CA. Use of peer role models to increase Pap smear and mammogram screening in Mexican American and Black women. *Am J Prev Med* 1993;9:290-6.

- 618 [34] Buller D, Modiano MR, Guernsey de Zapien, et al. Predictors
619 of cervical cancer screening in Mexican American women of re-
620 productive age. *J Health Care Poor Underserved* 1998;9:76-
621 95.
- 622 [35] Villarejo D, Lighthall D, Williams D, et al. *Suffering in Silence: a*
623 *report on the health of California's agricultural workers*. Davis, CA:
624 California Institute for Rural Studies, 21 November, 2000.
- 625 [36] Rimer BK, Demark-Wahnefried W, Egert JR. Acceptance of cancer
626 screening. In: Gochman DS, editor. *Handbook of health behavior*
627 *research. Part II. Provider determinants*. New York: Plenum Press,
1997. p. 285-302. 628
- [37] Slesinger DP, Ofstead C. Using a voucher system to extend health 629
services to migrant farmworkers. *Public Health Rep* 1996;111:57-62. 630
- [38] Slesinger DP, Ofstead C. Economic and health needs of Wisconsin 631
migrant farmworkers. *J Rural Health* 1993;9:138-48. 632
- [39] Jennings-Dozier K, Lawrence D. Sociodemographic predictors of 633
adherence to annual cervical cancer screening in minority women. 634
Cancer Nurs 2000;23:350-6. 635
636