Resource ID#: 4329

Human Organization, Vol. 57, No. 3, 1998 Copyright © 1998 by the Society for Applied Anthropology 0018-7259/98/030331-4\$0.90/1

Occupational and Environmental Health Risks in Farm Labor

# Occupational and Environmental Health Risks in Farm Labor

# THOMAS A. ARCURY AND SARA A. QUANDT

This essay provides an introduction and overview for this special thematic section. We discuss the general occupational and environmental health risks that those who provide farm labor — farmers, farm families, and farmworkers — experience as a result of commercial agriculture. We first briefly review some of the farm safety research literature, highlighting the important trend of listening to those who perform farm labor as they speak for themselves about their health and safety concerns. We then introduce the individual articles in the collection and highlight their common themes: (1) control; (2) economic stress; (3) beliefs; and (4) access to information. We conclude that applied anthropology has a key role to play in reducing occupational and environmental health risks for farm labor by conducting the research that indicates the most proximate causes of farm injury and illness, and by developing appropriate interventions to address these causes.

Key words: farm health, agricultural injury and illness, farmers, farmworkers

Nommercial agriculture produces abundant and low cost  $\sim$  food. This supply of food enhances the health and lives of millions of people. At the same time, commercial agriculture has exacted a price from society and the environment, as well as from those individuals who provide agricultural labor ---farmers, farm families, and hired farmworkers. In the United States, commercial agricultural has resulted in increasingly larger farms, while the number of farms and farmers have decreased. The results of this process, documented since the 1940s (Goldschmidt 1947), have been individual dislocation and community disintegration. In lesser developed countries, the greater international marketing of agricultural produce has meant uneven economic and community development, an agricultural system that lacks sustainability, and greater agricultural production but less local food security. Environmentally, the costs of commercial agriculture include deforestation, river siltification, and water pollution. In both

developed and less developed nations, commercial agriculture depends on mechanization and the use of non-renewable natural resources, as well as chemical fertilizers and pesticides that often contaminate water supplies and the food being produced.

For the individuals who supply the labor for commercial agriculture, the costs of employment include greater exposure to environmental and occupational health risks. Agriculture is one of the most hazardous industries in the United States; Myers and Hard (1995) report that mortality was 22.9 per 100,000 agricultural workers over the period 1980 through 1989, making agriculture among the top three most dangerous industries in the U.S. The acute and chronic conditions that result from farm work include injuries (lacerations, fractures, and amputations) from machinery, falls, and livestock; hearing loss; a wide range of respiratory disorders; arthritis; cancer; and mental illness (Donham and Horvath 1988). In the 1990s, the growing concern for the health of those who perform farm work is reflected in the publication of several books on farm health (e.g., Donham and Rautianinen 1997; Langley, McLymore, Meggs, and Roberson 1997), the publication of a new journal devoted to agriculture and health (Journal of AgroMedicine), and the funding by the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health of several regional agricultural health and safety centers.

Much of the interest in farm health and safety has been directed at the farmer owner-operator and farm family. The environmental justice or environmental inequity movement has extended this concern for the health of farm owner-operators to concern for the health of migrant and seasonal farmworkers (Moses, Johnson, Anger, Burse, Horstman, Jackson, Lewis, Maddy, McConnell, Meggs, and Zahm 1993; Sexton and Anderson 1993). Farmworkers are a disenfranchised and medically underserved population, composed largely of minority group members. Low wages, lack of health insurance, long work hours, and lack of transportation all contribute to

VOL. 57, NO. 3 FALL 998

Thomas A. Arcury is Senior Research Associate, Center for Urban and Regional Studies, CB# 3410, Hickerson House, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3410. He is also Adjunct Associate Professor of Anthropology, and Lecturer in Health Behavior and Health Education, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Sara A. Quandt is Associate Professor, Department of Public Health Sciences, Section on Epidemiology, Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Medical Center Boulevard, Winston-Salem, NC 27157-1063. She is also Adjunct Associate Professor of Anthropology, Wake Forest University. They at: Principal Investigator and Co-Principal Investigator, respectively, of PACE: Preventing Agricultural Chemical Exposure atoms North Carolina Farmworkers, which is supported by a grant from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (ES08739).

limiting many farmworkers' access to health care. As of 1995, 70% of all farmworkers were foreign born, and 94% of these foreign born farmworkers (65% of all farmworkers) were from Mexico, with workers from several Central American nations making up most of the remainder (Mines, Gabbard, and Steirman 1997). Most of those farmworkers who are not Hispanic are African American. In the United States, migrant and seasonal farmworkers are at substantially greater risk than the general population for exposure to occupational injuries, communicable diseases (e.g., tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS), and dental disease (Ciesielski, Hall, and Sweeney 1991; Ciesielski, Handzel, and Sobsey 1991; Ciesielski, Seed, Esposito, and Hunter 1991; Ciesielski, Esposito, Protiva, and Piehl 1994; Rust 1990; Skala 1987; Slesinger 1992). There is also great concern about the short and long term effects of farmworker occupational exposure to agricultural chemicals (Abrams, Hogan, and Maibach 1991; Arcury and Quandt 1998; Zahm and Blair 1993; Zahm, Ward, and Blair 1997).

The articles in this special thematic section address some of the important occupational and environmental health risk issues for those who perform farm labor. Each of these articles reports research designed to understand farmers' and farmworkers' perspective and perceptions of the occupational and environmental health risks they face. In this introduction we first review some of the farm safety research literature, especially the important trend of encouraging those who perform farm labor to speak for themselves about their health and safety concerns. We then introduce the individual articles and highlight their common themes. These themes include issue of control and power, stress, health beliefs, and access to information. Together, the authors argue that programs intended to decrease the occupational and environmental risks faced by farm labor must move from a model focused on education alone, to a model that shifts control and power to those who perform agricultural labor.

## Agricultural Health Research

Epidemiology is one of the major research approaches to documenting the occupational and environmental health risks of farm labor. Much of the pre-1992 epidemiological research in this area has been compiled by Nordstrom, Brand, and Layde (1992). Recent epidemiological analysis of agricultural injury and illness data is based on documentary sources such as death certificates, hospital records, or other surveillance data (e.g., Brackbill, Cameron, and Behrens 1994; Myers and Hard 1995; Zwerling, Burmeister, and Jensen 1995), or on fixed response surveys (e.g., Zhou and Roseman 1994; Browning, Truszczynska, Reed, and McKnight 1998). An important project currently under way is the Agricultural Health Study (Alavanja, Sandler, McMaster, Zahm, McDonnell, Lynch, Pennybacker, Rothman, Dosemeci, Bond, and Blair 1996), which focuses on the relationship of pesticides and cancer among licensed pesticide applicators and their families in Iowa and North Carolina.

These epidemiological studies are extremely valuable for documenting the extent of different agricultural injuries and illnesses, and for identifying the demographic characteristics of those experiencing these agricultural injuries and illnesses. However, because these methods do not give a voice to those who do farm labor, our knowledge of the causes and, therefore, ways of preventing farm work injury and illness are limited. Demographic variables such as age, gender, "race," and education are not the proximate "causes" of injuries or illness. Rather, they are indicators of underlying causes. Assuming that increasing education or knowledge about farm injury or illness will lead to reductions in rates of injury is also faulty. To get to these underlying causes, it is important to understand the farming system in which the work is done, the beliefs of those doing the work, and the social and economic environment in which they are working.

There is a growing body of agricultural health research based on learning the perspectives and beliefs of those doing this work. For example, Arcury (1995, 1997) conducted in-depth individual and focus group interviews concerning farm safety with African American farmers. He found that they knew how to work safely. However, due to economic pressures (e.g., lack of time, old equipment) they were not always attentive or able to follow safety rules. Kidd *et al.* (1997) used focus group interviews to learn how farm parents taught their children to work safely. They concluded that parents allowed children to perform "high-risk chores" when other labor was not available or when they were pushed economically.

Several investigators have examined migrant and seasonal farmworker actions to reduce exposure to pesticides. Three clear themes emerge from these analyses. First, it is important to provide farmworkers with information about their possible exposure to chemicals in the work place. Second, this information alone is not enough; farmworkers must perceive that they have sufficient control of the work environment to use this safety information. Finally, farmworkers will interpret occupational illness within the framework of their pre-existing health system. Vaughn (1993) found that farmworkers who used self-protective behaviors to reduce their exposure to pesticides were those who received safety information, believed that these safety methods would work, and felt they had control over the work place. On the other hand, Lantz et al. (1994) found that farmworkers believed that pesticides could cause health problems, particularly cancer, but would not ask for safe work procedures to be used for fear of losing their jobs. Baer and Penzell (1993) found that almost a quarter of the pesticideexposed farmworkers with whom they spoke believed their symptoms resulted from susto, brought on by the fright of exposure.

#### The Special Thematic Section

These articles build on the trend toward field-based agricultural health research. The investigators in each study have spoken directly to farmers and farmworkers about occupational health. While these articles focus on varied populations and consider domestic and international issues, they are crosscut by important themes for understanding the health of farm labor. Acknowledging these themes is crucial if we wish to develop interventions that will reduce the injuries and illness resulting from doing farm work.

The articles are focused on farmers (Perry and Bloom; Thu; and Andreatta), and farmworkers (Quandt, Arcury, Austin and Saavedra; and Harthorn). The research considers dairy farmers, and mixed grain, fruit, and livestock farmers (Perry and Bloom; and Thu, respectively) in the American Midwest, as well as fruit and vegetable producers in the Caribbean (Andreatta). Two of the articles examine health issues among contemporary migrant and seasonal farmworkers in North Carolina (Quandt *et al.*) and California (Harthorn). Three of the articles (Perry and Bloom, Andreatta, Quandt *et al.*) concentrate on the effects of agricultural chemicals, and a fourth (Harthorn) includes pesticides as a major concern. One of the articles (Thu) considers the general health of farm labor. With the diverse communities and topics examined in these projects, they are united in identifying the importance of four major themes that influence occupational health of farm labor: (1) control; (2) economic stress; (3) beliefs; and (4) access to information.

The four themes are interwoven. Control in these articles is not limited to having the ability to make decisions to follow safe work procedures at the work site. It extends to control over where one lives (for farmworkers), and of the general market for one's produce (farmers) and labor (farmworkers). Economic stress includes fear of not having work (farmworkers), and knowing that --- due to no control over the weather, international commodity markets or financial markets - one's livelihood and way of life may be lost (farmers). Under this stress, safe work practice is ignored to get the job done. The belief that a health risk is real, or believing that one is vulnerable to a known health risk, increases the likelihood of illness or injury. Not believing becomes a mechanism for disregarding risks in the face of economic stress or lack of control. Finally, having access to information, or the lack of this access due to a lack of control, is important to being cautious about health risks on the farm.

Each of the articles emphasizes different connections among these themes. For example, Thu's (1988:338) analysis illustrates the relationship of control and stress:

...farmers identify a pattern of social and economic conditions related to industrial agriculture that converge to create stressful conditions resulting in increased risk for injuries and health problems. These conditions include: increased off-farm work; economic uncertainty; market prices; farm finances; financial burdens of young farmers; weather uncertainties; less labor on the farm; larger machinery and increased use of inputs with fewer workers; more intense and prolonged contact with heavy machinery; longer working hours and days; pressures from neighbors, bankers, and landlords; and the fact that the farm is the office that you don't go home from after work.

Perry and Bloom (1988:347) show how belief systems that allow for taking health risks are related to economic stress:

Surprisingly it was not among knowledge and information that myths and misconceptions around pesticide hazards emerged — clearly farmers were knowledgeable and well informed. Instead, it was the cultural logic that sacrificing immediate health and wellbeing was acceptable for achieving short-term gains in productivity and farm sustainability that increased their vulnerability to health hazards.

Andreatta's (1988:357) analysis shows that exposure to agrochemical hazards among small producers in the Caribbean results from international market control, lack of producer knowledge, and economic stress: ...participants from multiple levels are involved in producer and farmworker agrochemical exposure. Consumer demands for unblemished inexpensive food, transnational corporations distributing chemicals known to be harmful to humans and the environment, local island governments permitting the importation of those chemicals for use, and growers who use the chemicals (regularly and without knowledge of their danger) to cultivate or raise marketable, edible agricultural commodities together comprise a complex multilevel matrix of actors who maintain agrochemical use in the agro-food system.

Quandt *et al.* show that the beliefs of those in control — farmers — can affect the exposure of the workers they control to the hazards of farm chemicals, no matter what the knowledge and beliefs of these workers. A lack of control and economic dependence means that workers are left with little choice but to be compliant with employer demands.

Harthorn, although directing her analysis toward the health of farmworkers, ties each of these themes together in her discussion of the appropriate level for health intervention among farm labor. If the causes of exposure to occupational and environmental health risk in farm labor are access to information or health beliefs alone, then an individual or community intervention is appropriate. However, if lack of control and economic markets are driving the health risks, then only interventions that result in basic changes at the industry or government regulation level will truly be effective.

# Conclusion

Each of these articles shows the importance of a field-based approach to understanding the causes of occupational injury and illness in farm labor. This approach allows us to move beyond the limited approach that assumes that simply educating farm labor will reduce injury and illness. The more important factors underlying occupational and environmental disease in farm labor — control, economic stress, beliefs, and information — are complex and difficult to address.

Applied social and behavioral science has a key role to play in reducing occupational and environmental health risks for farm labor. The research we conduct can evaluate the effectiveness of educational programs. More importantly, our research and theory directs us to understanding the entire farming system, the importance of beliefs as well as "knowledge," and the place of local farms in the world market (Arcury and Quandt 1998). By integrating these different layers of understanding, we can develop appropriate interventions to reduce occupational and environmental health risks to farm labor.

### REFERENCES CITED

- Abrams Kenneth, Daniel J. Hogan, and Howard I. Maibach 1991 Pesticide-related Dermatoses in Agricultural Workers.
- Occupational Medicine: State of the Art Reviews 6:463-492. Alavanja, Michael C., Dale P. Sandler, Suzanne B. McMaster, Sheila Hoar Zahm, Cheryl J. McDonnell, Charles F. Lynch, Margaret Pennybacker, Nathaniel Rothman, Mustafa Dosemeci, Andrew E. Bond, and Aaron Blair.
  - 1996 The Agricultural Health Study. Environmental Health Perspectives 104:362-369.

VOL. 57, NO. 3 FALL 998

Andreatta, Susan L.

1998 Agrochemical Exposure and Farmworker Health in the Caribbean: A Local/Global Perspective. Human Organization 57(3):350-358.

Arcury, Thomas A.

- 1995 Risk Perception of Occupational Hazards among Black Farmers in the Southeastern United States. Journal of Rural Health 11:240-250.
- 1997 Occupational Injury Prevention Knowledge and Behavior of African-American Farmers. Human Organization 56:167-173. Arcury, Thomas A. and Sara A. Quandt
  - 1998 Chronic Agricultural Chemical Exposure among Migrant and Seasonal Farmworkers. Society and Natural Resources 11: in press.
- Baer, Roberta D. and Dennis Penzell
  - 1993 Susto and Pesticide Poisoning among Florida Farmworkers. Culture, Medicine & Psychiatry 17:321-327.

Brackbill, Robert M., Lorraine L. Cameron, and Virginia Behrens

- 1994 Prevalence of Chronic Diseases and Impairments among US Farmers, 1986-1990. American Journal of Epidemiology 139:1055-1065.
- Browning, Steven R., Helena Truszczynska, Deborah Reed, and Robert H. McKnight
  - 1998 Agricultural Injuries among Older Kentucky Farmers: The Farm Family Health and Hazard Surveillance Study. American Journal of Industrial Medicine 33:341-353.

Ciesielski, Stephen, Paige Hall, and Maureen Sweeney

- 1991 Occupational Injuries among North Carolina Migrant Farmworkers. American Journal of Public Health 81:926-927.
- Ciesielski, Stephen, Thomas Handzel, and Mark Sobsey
  - 1991 The Microbial Quality of Drinking Water in North Carolina Migrant Labor Camps. American Journal of Public Health 81:762-764.
- Ciesielski, Stephen D., John R. Seed, Douglas H. Esposito, and Nancy Hunter
  - 1991 The Epidemiology of Tuberculosis among North Carolina Migrant Farm Workers. Journal of the American Medical Association 265:1715-1719.
- Ciesielski, Stephen, Douglas Esposito, Jan Protiva, and Mark Piehl
  - 1994 The Incidence of Tuberculosis among North Carolina Migrant Farmworkers, 1991. American Journal of Public Health 84:1836-1838.
- Donham, Kelly J. and Edward P. Horvath
- 1988 Agricultural Occupational Medicine. In Occupational Medicine: Principles and Practical Applications, 2nd ed. C. Zenz, ed. Pp. 933-957. Chicago: Year Book Medical Publishers. Donham, Kelly J. and Risto Rautianinen, eds.
  - 1997 Recent Advances in Agricultural Health and Safety. Binghamton, NY: Haworth Medical Press.
- Goldschmidt, Walter
- 1947 As You Sow. New York: Harcourt, Brace and Company.
- Kidd, Pamela, Kimberly Townley, Henry Cole, Robert McKnight, and Larry Piercy
  - 1997 The Process of Chore Teaching: Implications for Farm Youth Injury. Family & Community Health 19:78-89.
- Langley, Ricky L., Robert McLymore, Sr., William J. Meggs, and Gary T. Roberson, eds.
  - 1997 Safety and Health in Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries. Rockville, MD: Government Institutes.
- Lantz, Paula M., Laurence Dupuis, Douglas Reding, Michelle Krauska, and Karen Lappe
  - 1994 Peer Discussions of Cancer among Hispanic Migrant Farm Workers. Public Health Reports 109:512-520.

- Mines, Richard, Susan Gabbard, and Anne Steirman
  - 1997 A Profile of U.S. Farm Workers: Demographic, Household Composition, Income and Use of Services. Based on data from the National Agricultural Workers Survey (NAWS). Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy, prepared for the Commission on Immigration Reform. Washington, DC: US Department of Labor.
- Moses, Marion, Eric S. Johnson, W. Kent Anger, Virlyn W. Burse, Sanford W. Horstman, Richard J. Jackson, Robert G. Lewis, Keith T. Maddy, Rob McConnell, William J. Meggs, and Shelia Hoar Zahm
  - 1993 Environmental Equity and Pesticide Exposure. Environmental and Industrial Health 9:913-959.
- Myers, John R. and David L. Hard
  - 1995 Work-related Fatalities in the Agricultural Production and Services Sectors, 1980-1989. American Journal of Industrial Medicine 27:51-63.

Nordstrom, David L., Laura Brand, and Peter M. Layde, compilers

1992 Epidemiology of Farm-Related Injuries: Bibliography with Abstracts. Cincinnati, OH: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Centers for Disease Control.

Perry, Melissa J. and Frederick R. Bloom

- 1998 Perceptions of Pesticide Associated Cancer Risks among Farmers: A Qualitative Assessment. Human Organization 57(3):342-349.
- Rust, George S.
  - 1990 Health Status of Migrant Farmworkers: A Literature Review and Commentary. American Journal of Public Health 80:213-1217.
- Sakala, Carol
  - 1987 Migrant and Seasonal Farmworkers in the United States: A Review of Health Hazards, Status and Policy. International Migration Review 21:659-687.
- Sexton, Ken and Yolanda Banks Anderson, eds.
  - 1993 Equity in Environmental Health: Research Issues and Needs. Toxicology and Industrial Health 9:679-959
- Slesinger, Doris P.
  - 1992 Health Status and Needs of Migrant Farmworkers in the United States: A Literature Review. Journal of Rural Health 8:227-234.
- Thu, Kendall M.
  - 1998 The Health Consequences of Industrialized Agriculture for Farmers in the United States. Human Organization 57(3):335-341.
- Vaughan, Elaine
  - 1993 Chronic Exposure to an Environmental Hazard: Risk Perceptions and Self-protective Behavior. Health Psychology 12:74-85.

Zahm, Shelia H. and Aaron Blair

1993 Cancer among Migrant and Seasonal Farmworkers: An Epidemiologic Review and Research Agenda. American Journal of Industrial Medicine 24:753-766.

Zahm Shelia H., Mary H. Ward, and Aaron Blair

1997 Pesticides and Cancer. Occupational Medicine: State of the Art Reviews 12:269-289.

- Zhou, Chun and Jeffrey M. Roseman
  - 1994 Agricultural Injuries among a Population-based Sample of Farm Operators in Alabama. American Journal of Industrial Medicine 25:385-402.
- Zwerling, Craig, Leon F. Burmeister, and Christine M. Jensen
- 1995 Injury Mortality among Iowa Farmers, 1980-1988: Comparison of PMR and SMR Approaches. American Journal of Epidemiology 141:878-882.