



ELSEVIER

Food Security and Dietary Intake in Midwest Migrant Farmworker Children

Jill F. Kilanowski PhD, RN, CPNP^{a,*}, Laura C. Moore MS, RD^b

^aFrances Payne Bolton School of Nursing, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH

^bDepartment of Clinical and Molecular Endocrinology, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH

Key words:

Household food security;
Dietary intake;
Migrant farmworker
children;
Latino

This article is a descriptive cross-sectional study with Latino migrant farmworker (MFW) families in Ohio. A demographic questionnaire, the U.S. Household Food Security Survey (USHFSS), and the Food Frequency Questionnaire were self-administered. Participants ($N = 50$) were primarily mothers of children with ages 2 to 13 years. USHFSS was 30% high, 18% marginal, 44% low, and 8% very low. Only 22% of the children met the minimum MyPyramid daily recommended food-group servings for age and gender. Knowledge gained from these data will influence development of culturally appropriate nutrition interventions to help Latino MFW families achieve healthier nutrition and weight in their children.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

CHILDREN OF LATINO migrant farmworkers (MFWs) in the United States are at high risk for significant health problems, including overweight, obesity, and diabetes mellitus—problems compounded by nonfinancial barriers to health care access according to the Latino Consortium sponsored by the American Academy of Pediatrics (Committee on Community Health Services, 2005; Flores et al., 2002). Of the estimated 3 to 5 million MFWs in the United States, about 90% of those surveyed are Latino and some 61% live with their spouses and children while working in the United States (Carroll, Samardick, Bernard, Gabbard, & Hernandez, 2005; United States Department of Labor, 2005). Large database studies show that Latino children, aged 6 to 11 years, are disproportionately obese

(22%) compared to all U.S. children of the same age group (19%; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services [USDHHS], 2007). The researcher's previous study in 2005–2006 found that 41% of MFW children aged 6 to 11 years in the test group ($n = 44$) were obese, and 18% were overweight (Kilanowski & Ryan-Wenger, 2007).

Given the high incidence of obesity in Latino MFW children, it is important to examine the influencing factors. To that end, a descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted in MFW camps in Ohio from June to September 2007. The study tested the feasibility of using two survey instruments to collect information from Latino MFW parents on the levels of household food security and the food intake of their children.

Particular targets of the USDHHS' *Healthy People 2010* guidelines are to decrease the overweight rate in children aged 6 to 11 years to 5%, increase food security, and increase the proportion of persons who eat adequate amounts of fruit and vegetables every day (USDHHS, 2008b). The children of MFWs are especially at risk for suboptimal health and need culturally specific intervention programs that educate and actively promote healthy eating. First, however, it is necessary to understand factors that affect the children's weight, particularly those factors that can impede efforts to achieve a healthy body weight and healthy nutrition (Reifsnider, Keller, & Gallagher, 2006).

This information has been presented in a poster presentation entitled, "Household Food Security and Dietary Intake in Midwest Migrant Farmworker Children" at the 32nd Midwest Nursing Research Society Conference, Indianapolis, IN, March 2008; Research Showcase, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, April 2008; National Association of Pediatric Nurse Practitioners 29th Annual Conference, Nashville, TN, April 2008.

* Corresponding author: Jill F. Kilanowski, PhD, RN, CPNP.

E-mail addresses: jill.kilanowski@case.edu, jfk19@case.edu, papmmom@aol.com (J.F. Kilanowski).

Literature Review

In a 2006 study of low-income Latino toddler children ($n = 374$) enrolled in the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) in a large southwest city, researchers concluded that information on dietary intake, as well as the home environment and community components, were needed to tailor interventions to match client needs (Reifsnider et al., 2006).

In 2007, Langevin et al. (2007) used the Block Food Frequency Questionnaire for Kids 2004 to evaluate dietary intake of third- through sixth-grade children from a low socioeconomic urban area in New Jersey with an 85% African American or Latino population. In this group, 22% of the children were classified as overweight, and 36% were obese. In addition, more than 90% of the children did not meet the recommended fruit and vegetable intake (Langevin et al., 2007). It should be noted that food intake may have been underestimated in this study because surveys were completed by the children themselves, were not culturally specific, and some children had difficulty determining portion sizes from pictures used in the surveys. With pediatric subjects, parents most often complete dietary intake surveys.

Food security can provide information on well-being that cannot be inferred from income data alone and is defined as whether the household is able to obtain enough food to meet their family's needs (Bickel, Nord, Price, Hamilton, & Cook, 2000; Nord, Andrews, & Carlson, 2006). When one controls for household income, region of residence, and household composition, food security in Latino households has been consistently lower than national rates (82% vs. 89%; Melgar-Quinonez & Kaiser, 2004; Nord et al., 2006). In low-income California Latino households ($n = 212$) for example, 45% were marginal food secure, 13% low food secure, and 3% very low food secure (Kaiser et al., 2004).

Among diverse demographic categories, households experiencing low levels of food security were associated with their children being overweight and obese (Casey et al., 2006). Studies of food security and nutritional outcomes of preschool-aged Mexican American children ($n = 211$ and 256) found that rates of overweight or obese children (37%) peaked among low food-secure and very low food-secure families (Kaiser et al., 2002, 2003). In very low food-secure households, children were less likely to meet U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food Guide Pyramid recommendations, and this was associated with fewer household supplies of both nutrient-dense (oranges, apples, bananas, carrots, beef, fish) and less nutritious foods (soda, cookies, chips, gelatin, and powdered chocolate flavoring; Kaiser et al., 2004; Quandt, Arcury, Early, Tapia, & Davis, 2004). In greater than 90% of households reporting very low food security, traditional foods such as corn tortillas, white rice, onions, beans, and cooking oil remained stable food items, as well as nontraditional foods such as hot dogs,

sweetened cereal, ice cream, candy, and fruit-flavored punches (Kaiser et al., 2004).

Research continues to show that low-income families experience lower levels of household food security, and therefore, their children are at greater risk for having unbalanced diets (Alaimo, Olson, & Frongilo, 2007). Given the high incidence of obesity in Latino MFW children, it is important to examine in more depth the influencing factors.

Method

This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted in migrant camps in Ohio during the summer months of June to September 2007 using two survey instruments to obtain information from Latino farmworker parents on the level of household food security and food intake of their children. Participants were obtained from cluster-sampled farms that employed MFWs ($N = 50$). Farm A occupied 2,000 acres and grew 500 acres of potatoes plus 30 other types of vegetables. Farm B occupied 2,500 acres and grew more than 60 types of vegetables and fruits. The farms employed MFWs who originated from Mexico, Texas, and Central America.

This study was approved by the Case Institutional Review Board of Case Western Reserve University. Inclusion criteria were (a) MFW parents 18 years and older with children 2 to 13 years of age, (b) ability to give informed consent, and (c) ability to complete three questionnaires in Spanish or English. Participants completed (a) a short demographic questionnaire used previously by the researcher, (b) the U.S. Household Food Security Survey (USHFSS) short form scale, and (c) the Food Frequency Survey (a dietary food intake survey completed by parents and designed for low-income Latinos). Placement of data collection areas partially removed participants from each other and maintained subject privacy. The proposed study also underwent a cultural assessment and review, and letters of access were obtained from farm owners. Participants were compensated for their time with a large chain store gift card.

Instruments

A 28-item demographic questionnaire developed by the researcher included typical questions asked of parents in a well-child examination and questions from the literature on dietary intake, food insecurity, and overweight children (Kilanowski, 2006; Kilanowski & Ryan-Wenger, 2007). Questions were available in both Spanish and English and had a Flesch-Kincaid grade level readability score of fifth grade. The demographic questionnaire was translated and back-translated by culturally appropriate native Spanish speakers and was assessed by the Institutional Review Board for cultural appropriateness.

U.S. Household Food Security Survey

The USHFSS short form scale is available in English and Spanish and contains multiple indicator questions that distinguish the various levels of severity of food security (Nord, Andrews, & Carlson, 2004). The USHFSS is based on data collected annually by the U.S. Census Bureau as a supplement to the monthly Current Population Survey of interviewed households (Bickel et al., 2000; Coates, Swindale, & Bilinsky, 2006; Economic Research Services [ERS]/USDA, 2004; Nord et al., 2004). Households are classified in a range from “food secure,” if they report no food-insecure conditions, to “very low food secure,” if food-insecure conditions are reported (ERS, 2006). Completed surveys are assigned scale scores and classified into food security levels based on standard values in total number of affirmatives: 0 = *food secure*; 1 = marginal food security; 2 to 4 = low food security; 5 to 6 = *very low food security* (Bickel et al., 2000; Nord et al., 2006).

The USHFSS is a valid instrument used to produce prevalence estimates and to document the presence of hunger in a community (Bickel et al., 2000; Nord et al., 2004; USDA, 1998). Noninterview data collections have successfully used the six-question version of the short form of the USHFSS with alternative language formats. Although the USHFSS has been conducted primarily by personal interview, telephone and on-site self-administration with Latino populations have also been used.

In an Iowa study ($n = 3,018$), the USHFSS short form scale was mailed to participants in a WIC program, and results showed 43% of households experienced some level of food insecurity with almost 41% of Latinos responding affirmatively (Iowa Department of Public Health, 2004). The six-question short form scale classified 95.6% of households with children correctly ($n = 16,914$) and underestimated the prevalence of overall food security and of hunger by only 0.3 percentage points (Blumberg, Bialostosky, Hamilton, & Briefel, 1999). Sensitivity and specificity of the short form scale for households with children to determine overall food security are 85.9% and 99.5%, respectively, and for the determination of very low food security, 78.4% and 99.2% (ERS/USDA).

The Spanish language version of the USHFSS was developed with the use of focus groups of low-income Spanish-speaking participants from Mexico, Central America, Puerto Rico, and Cuba (Harrison, Stormer, Herman, & Winham, 2003). Three professional translators were employed to render the English version into “standard” Spanish, and then both instruments were back-translated for integrity. The instrument was pilot tested, and the resulting USHFSS Spanish language version has been well used in research. The USHFSS has shown internal consistencies of Cronbach’s alpha of greater than or equal to .85, and accuracy for use in populations and in individuals was established by comparison of three food security measures: the USHFSS, the Radimer/Cornell, and

the Community Childhood Hunger Identification Project (USDA, 1998).

Food Frequency Questionnaire

The Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) is a 57-item dietary food intake survey developed during focus groups with Mexican American parents in California and pilot tested to measure children’s dietary intake (Melgar-Quinonez & Kaiser, 2004). In this study, parents were asked to indicate how many times (frequency) food items typical to the Latino cuisine were consumed (daily, weekly, or monthly) and note the portion size (small, medium, large). In its developmental testing, use of the FFQ yielded estimates of Food Guide Pyramid food group servings similar to those based on direct observations ($n = 11$; Kaiser et al., 2002).

The USDA Food Guide Pyramid recommendations for children used the calculated number of servings per week from each food group, and minimum requirements for each food group were determined. Scoring is 1, indicating recommended requirements for each food group (dairy, fruits, vegetables, meat, and grains) are achieved, and 0, indicating recommended requirements are not achieved (Kaiser et al., 2002). A total score is obtained by summing the five groups, with a range of 0 to 5. The greater the score, the more food group requirements suggested by the USDA are met. The FFQ is available in both Spanish and English. The Spanish version of the FFQ was cross-checked independently by three Spanish experts from different Latin American countries and pilot tested with Mexican Americans ($n = 11$) who met inclusion criteria for the study but were not included in the final sample.

The USDA introduced the Food Guide Pyramid in 1992 as a simple illustration to help Americans choose healthy diets based on the Dietary Guidelines for Americans (Gao, Wilde, Lichtenstein, & Tucker, 2006). In 2005, the USDA retired the old Food Guide Pyramid and replaced it with MyPyramid, a new symbol and an “interactive food guidance system” available online at the USDA Web site. The new pyramid does not contain the number of daily servings for each food group because nutrient intake is different for each individual according to weight, gender, age, and newly added activity level (USDA, 2005). MyPyramid also emphasizes the importance of controlling weight not adequately addressed in previous versions.

In this study, the FFQ evaluated achievement of the minimum recommended daily requirements using the Food Guide Pyramid for age and gender outlined in the Current Dietary Guidelines for Americans (USDHHS & USDA, 2005). The serving sizes for the Food Guide Pyramid and MyPyramid do not differ for age and gender. If a child does not meet the daily recommendations for each food group

using the Food Guide Pyramid requirements, then they would also not meet MyPyramid requirements.

Results

Participants

Most of the participants were married Spanish-speaking women of Latino ethnicity without a high school diploma, working full time, and with a monthly family income of less than \$1,000. Two farms ($n = 39, 11$) were used as research sites, and although not shown here, they had similar demographic characteristics. Demographics for the entire sample ($N = 50$) can be seen in Table 1. The families resided in buildings provided free of cost by the farm owner, and 12% had their own garden to grow produce. Most of the families felt their house was very safe (38%) or safe (56%) and rated their children's play space as very safe (31%) or safe (56%). When asked if kitchen appliances provided were functioning, this was sometimes not true for stoves (8%), ovens (17%), or refrigerators (4%). Forty-four percent of the MFW parents reported concerns about their child's current weight (not specifically overweight), but only 18% had a scale at home to measure body weight. Forty-three percent of the parents thought their child ate a balanced diet on a daily basis, and 18% thought their children ate too many sweets. Soda pop was consumed daily by 25% of the children, and 76% drank milk daily. In food preparation, 34% of mothers used lard.

Household Food Security

Only 30% of MFW households scored high on food security, 18% were marginal, 44% low, and 8% very low. However, there was a significant difference in the level of household food security, with more families on the larger farm having lower food security than families on the smaller farm ($t = 2.25, p = 0.029$).

Dietary Intake

The analysis showed that 22% of the children (unequal numbers of girls and boys) met all Food Guide Pyramid/MyPyramid daily minimum food group serving recommendations for age and gender (13% of the girls and 35% of the boys). Daily minimum food group serving recommendations defined by the Food Guide Pyramid/MyPyramid were met by 50% of the children in the milk and dairy category (37% girls, 70% boys). However, less than half of the children met the minimum daily serving recommendations for vegetables (30%; 27% girls, 35% boys), fruit (48%; 37% girls, 65% boys), and grains (48%; 37% girls, 65% boys). In the category of meat and beans, 66% of the children, including 67% of the girls and 65% of the boys,

Table 1 Demographics of Study Participants

Parents	<i>n</i> (%)
Gender	
Men	4 (8)
Women	46 (92)
Ethnicity	
Hispanic	48 (96)
Non-Hispanic	1 (2)
Missing	1 (2)
Language at home	
English	3 (6)
Spanish	33 (66)
Both	13 (26)
Other	1 (2)
Monthly family income	
Less than \$500	16 (32)
\$500–1000	12 (24)
\$1,000–2,000	19 (38)
Prefer not to answer	3 (6)
Highest parental education	
Less than 9th	16 (32)
9–11	17 (34)
High school graduate	12 (24)
Some college	5 (10)
Age of study child	
2–5 years	17 (34)
6–11 years	18 (36)
12–13 years	15 (30)
Marital status	
Married	36 (72)
Living with partner	8 (16)
Divorced	1 (2)
Single parent	3 (6)
Missing	2 (4)
Work status	
Full time	38 (76)
Part time	8 (16)
Not employed	3 (6)
Missing	1 (2)
Number of children in household	
1	36 (72)
2	7 (14)
3	5 (10)
4 or more	1 (2)
Missing	1 (2)
Gender of study child for dietary intake	
Boys	20 (40)
Girls	30 (60)

met minimum daily serving recommendations. The level of attainment in the meat and beans category was lower among children on the larger farm ($t = 2.178, p = 0.037$). National pediatric data are analyzed by categories of age and age/gender, and the age group of 6 to 11 years is often the benchmark comparison group. When examining this study's sample of 6- to 11-year-old children ($n = 18$), 42% of girls ($n = 12$) and 50% of boys ($n = 6$) met the minimum

Table 2 Family Household Food Security Levels in Sample Populations

	Food Secure* (%)	Low Food Security (%)	Very Low Food Security (%)
National rates [†]	89	7	4
Hispanic families [†]	82	13	5
Families below poverty line ^{†,‡}	64	22	14
Sample from MFW families	48	44	8

* Food secure category includes food secure and marginal food security.

[†] Data from Nord et al. (2006).

[‡] Household income/poverty ratio less than 1.0.

daily serving recommendations for fruit intake, and 25% of girls and 0% of boys met the minimum daily servings of vegetables recommendations.

Discussion

In this study, most MFW households experienced low levels of food security, and their children did not meet the recommended dietary guidelines. Table 2 compares available national data on food security to this MFW sample. However, samples from Midwest farms may not be representative of the entire region or the nation. Furthermore, information is not available on those who did not choose to participate.

There is little current information available to compare the study findings on the percent of children meeting the USDA Food Guide Pyramid/MyPyramid minimum daily serving recommendations, and it often uses the 6- to 11-year-old age category (USDHHS, 2008a; Healthy Youth! Nutrition, 2008; USDA, 1998). When compared to the 1998 Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals and the *Healthy People 2010* database, boys in this small sample of 6- to 11-year-old children showed a higher rate of meeting minimal dietary serving recommendations for fruit,

whereas girls had a lower rate of attainment. Boys had lower rates of consuming vegetable serving recommendations, whereas girls had a higher rate of attainment. However, the sample in this age group was small and may not be representative of the population (Table 3 for comparison data).

Children from the farms with more acreage that is cultivated, more variety of crops, more employees, a more rural location, and larger migrant housing camps had lower scores on food security, and a lower percentage met the serving recommendations in the meats and beans food groups. Casual conversations with MFW employees suggested that more families consistently returned to the small farm annually. The smaller farm employees were also less diverse in the location of their permanent homes, coming from fewer regions of Texas and Mexico and had Texas as their permanent home. The smaller farm also had a produce store on-site that sold fruits and vegetables to local people. In addition, employees were observed carrying ears of corn from the packing plant to their homes in the migrant camp, bypassing the produce store with permission. The larger farm was much more removed from merchants. Thus, the availability of produce may have affected food security and dietary intake.

The USHFSS instrument was easy to complete with minimal test burden to the participants. Participants appeared to have no difficulty in its completion. The FFQ was used because of its cultural and ethnic considerations, but only 18 of 50 surveys were accurately completed and scored with ease by a registered dietitian. An additional 25 surveys were completed in a way that made it possible, but time-consuming, to evaluate adherence to the Food Guide Pyramid serving recommendations. For example, only one of three food frequency columns (daily, weekly, monthly) was to be completed, but 12 questionnaires had multiple columns filled out. In addition, some parents neglected to choose the serving size of foods, so a medium portion was ascribed for 13 surveys. Seven surveys were lost for a variety of errors: Check marks were used instead of numerical counts of times a food was consumed, frequencies of foods chosen did not add up properly, or food portions but not frequency were selected. To avoid missing data in future

Table 3 Attainment of the Recommended Food Guide Pyramid (MyPyramid) Requirements in the Fruit and Vegetable Groups in 6- to 11-Year-Old MFW Children Compared to Literature Data

	1998 Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals* (%)		Healthy People 2010 Database [†] (%)		MFW Children Sample (%)	
	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls
Fruit	23	24	37	66	50	42
Vegetables	18	19	NA	NA	0	25

Note: NA = not applicable.

* Data from USDA (1998).

[†] Data from USDHHS (2008a, 2008b).

studies, it will be necessary to be very specific in directions, have a study coordinator help each subject fill out the questionnaire, and double-check all questionnaires after they are completed.

Conclusion

This study tested the feasibility and ease of use of two survey instruments to measure household food security and dietary intake of MFW families and their children: the USHFSS short form scale and the FFQ. Participants were successfully recruited for the study and were able to complete the research study instruments.

From these instruments, the following conclusion was obtained: MFW households reported lower than national rates of household food security, and their children's diets did not meet the minimum daily serving recommendations of the USDA Food Guide Pyramid and equivalent MyPyramid for age and gender.

Furthermore, from the literature, nutrition interventions can only expect to succeed if they are culturally appropriate and suitable to the subjects' milieu, as well as comprehensible to the participants of the intervention (Germann, Kirschembaum, & Rich, 2007; Lindsay, Sussner, Kim, & Gortmaker, 2006). Knowledge gained from this study will assist the development of future nutrition interventions to help Latino MFW families achieve better nutrient intakes and more efficient and cost-effective meal preparation, resulting in healthy weight, healthy nutrition, and improved health for their children.

References

- Alaimo, K., Olson, C., & Frongilo, E. (2007). Low family income and food insufficiency in relation to overweight in U.S. children. *Archives of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine*, *155*, 1161–1167.
- Bickel, G., Nord, M., Price, C., Hamilton, W., & Cook, J. (2000). *Guide to measuring household food security, revised 2000*. Alexandria, VA: U.S. Department of Agriculture.
- Blumberg, S., Bialostosky, K., Hamilton, W., & Briefel, R. (1999). The effectiveness of a Short Form of the Household Food Security Scale. *American Journal of Public Health*, *89*, 1231–1234.
- Carroll, D., Samardick, R. M., Bernard, S., Gabbard, S., & Hernandez, T. (2005). *Findings from the National Agricultural Workers Survey (NAWS), 2001–2002: A demographic and employment profile of the United States farmworkers*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Labor.
- Casey, P., Simpson, P., Gossett, J., Bogle, M., Champagne, C., Connell, C., et al. (2006). The association of child and household food insecurity with childhood overweight status. *Pediatrics*, *118*, e1406–e1413.
- Coates, J., Swindale, A., & Bilinsky, P. (2006). *Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS) for Measurement of Food Access: Indicator guide version 2*. 2006 July 2006. Washington, DC: Academy for Educational Development.
- Committee on Community Health Services. (2005). Policy statement: Providing care for immigrant, homeless, and migrant children. *Pediatrics*, *115*, 1095–1100.
- Economic Research Services (ERS). (2006). Food security in the United States: Household Survey Tools. *Briefing Room*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture.
- Economic Research Service/United States Department of Agriculture (ERS/USDA). (2004). *Household Food Security in the United States, ERR-11*. Washington, DC: United States Department of Agriculture.
- Flores, G., Fuentes-Afflick, E., Barbot, O., Carter-Pokras, O., Claudio, L., Lara, M., et al. (2002). The health of Latino children. *JAMA*, *288*, 82–90.
- Gao, X., Wilde, P., Lichtenstein, A., & Tucker, K. (2006). The 2005 USDA Food Guide Pyramid is associated with more adequate nutrient intakes within energy constraints than the 1992 Pyramid. *Journal of Nutrition*, *136*, 1341–1346.
- Germann, J., Kirschembaum, D., & Rich, B. (2007). Child and parental self-monitoring as determinants of success in the treatment of morbid obesity in low-income minority children. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, *32*, 111–121.
- Harrison, G., Stormer, A., Herman, D., & Winham, D. M. (2003). Development of a Spanish-language version of the U.S. Household Food Security Survey Module. *The Journal of Nutrition*, *133*, 1192–1197.
- Healthy Youth! Nutrition. (2008). Retrieved May 1, 2008, from <http://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/nutrition/guidelines/summary.htm>.
- Iowa Department of Public Health, Iowa WIC Program. (2004). Extent of food insecurity among Iowa WIC participants, August 2004. Retrieved April 5, 2009, from www.idph.state.ia.us/wic.
- Kaiser, L. L., Martin, A., Metz, D., Nicholson, Y., Fujii, M., Lamp, C., et al. (2004). Food insecurity prominent among low-income California Latinos. *California Agriculture*, *58*, 18–23.
- Kaiser, L. L., Melgar-Quinonez, H., Lamp, C., Johns, M., Sutherlin, J., & Harwood, J. (2002). Food security and nutritional outcomes of preschool-age Mexican-American children. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, *102*, 924–929.
- Kaiser, L. L., Melgar-Quinonez, H., Townsend, M., Nicholson, Y., Fujii, M., Martin, A., et al. (2003). Food insecurity and food supplies in Latino households with young children. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, *35*, 148–153.
- Kilanowski, J. F., & Ryan-Wenger, N. (2007). Health status of an invisible population: Carnival and migrant worker children. *Western Journal of Nursing Research*, *29*, 100–120.
- Kilanowski, J. F. (2006). Lesson learned from a pilot study on the health status of children from itinerant populations. *Journal of Pediatric Health Care*, *20*, 253–260.
- Langevin, D., Kwiatkowski, C., McKay, M., Maillet, J., Tougher-Decker, R., Smith, et al. (2007). Evaluation of diet quality and weight status from a low socioeconomic urban environment supports "at risk" classification. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, *107*, 1973–1977.
- Lindsay, A., Sussner, K., Kim, J., & Gortmaker, S. (2006). The role of parents in preventing childhood obesity. *The Future of Children*, *16*, 169–186.
- Melgar-Quinonez, H., & Kaiser, L. L. (2004). Relationship of child-feeding practices to overweight in low-income Mexican-American preschool-aged children. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, *104*, 1110–1119.
- Nord, M., Andrews, M., & Carlson, S. (2004). *Household food security in the United States, 2004. Report no. 11*. Washington, DC: United States Department of Agriculture.
- Nord, M., Andrews, M., & Carlson, S. (2006). *Household food security in the United States, 2005. Report no. 29*. Washington, DC: United States Department of Agriculture.
- Quandt, S., Arcury, T., Early, J., Tapia, J., & Davis, J. (2004). Household food security among migrant and seasonal Latino farmworkers in North Carolina. *Public Health Reports*, *119*, 568–576.
- Reifsnider, E., Keller, C. S., & Gallagher, M. (2006). Factors related to overweight and risk for overweight status among low-income Hispanic children. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing*, *21*, 186–196.
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). (1998). Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals 1994–1996. Retrieved April 8, 2008, from <http://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/nutrition/guidelines/summary.htm>.
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). (2005). MyPyramid plan. Retrieved June 8, 2008, from <http://www.mypyramid.gov>.

- United States Department of Health and Human Services (USDHHS). (2007). The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Retrieved June 8, 2008, from <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm>.
- United States Department of Health and Human Services (USDHHS). (2008a). DATA2010...the healthy people 2010 database, March 2008 edition. Retrieved April 9, 2008, from <http://wonder.cdc.gov/scripts/broker.exe>.
- United States Department of Health and Human Services (USDHHS). (2008b). Healthy people 2010: Objectives for improving health. Retrieved June 8, 2008, from <http://www.healthypeople.gov/nchs/about/otheract/hpdata2010>.
- United States Department of Health and Human Services, & U.S. Department of Agriculture. Dietary Guidelines for Americans (USDHHS & USDA). (2005, January). 6th ed. Washington, DC: U. S. Government Printing Office.
- United States Department of Labor. (July 2005). *The National Agricultural Workers Survey 2001–2002*. Washington, DC: Employment & Training Administration Report No. 9. Retrieved July 21, 2006, from <http://www.healthypeople.gov/nchs/about/otheract/hpdata2010>.